

## TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED COURT AND PLAINTIFF GAYLE SMITH AND HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT Defendant CROSSMARK, Inc. ("CROSSMARK" or "Defendant"), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby removes this action to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1453. In support of such removal, Defendant states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff filed this civil action in the Superior Court of Los Angeles County, State of California ("Superior Court"), on or about October 9, 2013 (the "Action"). The Action was assigned Case No. BC523981. Plaintiff served CROSSMARK with the Complaint via its registered agent on October 22, 2013. Copies of the Summons and Complaint and supporting papers are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**. Defendant timely filed an Answer and Affirmative Defenses ("Answer") to the Complaint on November 20, 2013. A copy of the Answer is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. Defendant is unaware of any other documents on file with the Superior Court in this action. This Notice of Removal is timely as it was filed within 30 days of service of the Complaint.
- 2. The Action was pending in the Superior Court which is in the territory of United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division ("Central District").
- 3. Under the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) ("CAFA"), federal district courts have original jurisdiction over a class action if (1) it involves 100 or more putative class members, (2) any class member is a citizen of a state different from any defendant, and (3) the aggregated controversy exceeds \$5 million. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332(d)(2) and (d)(6). This Action is one over which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1332 and is one which may be removed by Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1453, because: (1) the number of putative class members in the plaintiff class exceeds 100; (2) the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million; and (3) there exists minimal diversity between the

plaintiff and defendant. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2), (6).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Mitchell class-action settlement. CROSSMARK previously litigated and settled a class action lawsuit in the Central District involving the same putative class and overlapping wage-and-hour claims as alleged by Plaintiff in Mitchell v. CROSSMARK, Inc. et. al, Case No. CV 11- 2818-JAK (FMOx) (hereinafter, "Mitchell"). A true and correct copy of the Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release in the *Mitchell* action is attached hereto as **Exhibit** C. Specifically, the operative complaint in *Mitchell* alleged "causes of action under the California Labor Code and Business & Professions Code: violation of Labor Code §§ 201-203 for failure to pay wages due at separation of employment, Labor Code § 204 for failure to pay all wages timely throughout the course of employment, Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 for failure to pay all wages due for time spent driving between work locations, Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001(7) for failure to issue accurately itemized employee wage statements, Labor Code § 2802 for failure to fully reimburse employee business expenses incurred in the discharge of their employment duties, including while driving for work purposes, and violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. Plaintiff s[ought] damages, restitution, civil penalties under PAGA, and injunctive relief." (Mitchell Settlement Agreement at ¶ II.34). The Settlement Class in *Mitchell* was comprised of "all persons who are, have been or will be employed by Defendants in the State of California as an hourly, non-exempt employee from October 8, 2006 through the date of Preliminary Approval of this Settlement." (Mitchell Settlement Agreement ¶ I.3). The Court in Mitchell granted preliminary approval of the Settlement on February 27, 2012 and final approval of the Settlement on June 25, 2012. Given the preclusive effect of Mitchell on Plaintiff's lawsuit, the alleged claims in the Complaint are limited to the period from February 28, 2012 to the present. See, e.g., Hesse v. Sprint Corporation, 598 F.3d 581 (9th Cir. 2010); Reyn's Pasta Bella, LLC v. Visa USA, Inc., 442 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2006); Kourtis v. Cameron, 419 F.3d 989, 994 (9th Cir. 2005); see also

- 5. The putative class consists of more than 100 members. Plaintiff seeks to bring this action on behalf of "all non-exempt or hourly paid who have been employed by DEFENDANT in the State of California in the position of Territory Retail Representative, Merchandiser, Data Collector or those positions with similar duties and/or titles, within four years prior to the filing of this complaint until resolution of this lawsuit." (Complaint at ¶14).
- 6. Defendant CROSSMARK's records indicate that at least 1,778 individuals were employed as non-exempt or hourly Retail Representatives and/or Data Collectors in California by CROSSMARK from February 28, 2012 to the present. (Declaration of Melanie Stewart filed concurrently herewith ("Stewart Decl.") ¶ 3). As alleged, all of these individuals are potential members of the putative classes. Therefore, this action is a class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 7. Minimal diversity exists between the parties. The named plaintiff is alleged to be a resident of California. (Complaint at ¶5.) Based on Defendant CROSSMARK's records, at all times during her employment with Defendant CROSSMARK, the named plaintiff maintained an address in California. (Stewart Decl. ¶ 9.) Members of the putative class, who are or were employed in California, are presumed to be primarily citizens of the State of California. Defendant CROSSMARK was, at the time of the filing of this action, and still is, a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. (Declaration of John A. Thompson, ¶ 3.) Its principal place of business was, at the time of the filing of this action, and still is, in the State of Texas. (*Id.* at ¶ 4.) Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c), "a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has

Defendant CROSSMARK does not use the term "merchandiser" internally, but on information and belief, the term "merchandiser" is an industry word that refers to retail representatives. (See Stewart Decl. at ¶ 3.)

CROSSMARK's principal place of business and current operations are located in the state of Texas because its headquarters, and its executive and senior management personnel, as well as its primary management operations, are located in Texas. (*Id.* at ¶ 5). *Industrial Tectonics, Inc. v. Aero Alloy*, 912 F.2d 1090, 1093 (9th Cir. 1990) (providing that where a corporation does business in a number of states and does not conduct the substantial predominance of its business in any single one, the state where corporate headquarters is located is the corporation's principal place of business); *Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 130 S.Ct. 1181 (2010) (in determining the principal place of business of a corporation for purposes of diversity jurisdiction, the "'principal place of business' [as contained in § 1332(c)] is best read as referring to the place where a corporation's officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation's activities."). Accordingly, CROSSMARK is not a citizen of the State of California.

been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business."

- 8. Because Plaintiff is a resident of California and CROSSMARK is not, the minimal diversity requirement of 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2)(A) is satisfied.
- 9. The amount in controversy for all putative class members exceeds \$5 million. While Defendant denies the validity of Plaintiff's claims and requests for relief, the facial allegations in the Complaint and the alleged damages of Plaintiff exceeds the jurisdictional minimum. Luckett v. Delta Airlines, Inc., 171 F.3d 295, 298 (5th Cir. 1999) (finding that facts presented in the notice of removal, combined with plaintiff's allegations, were sufficient to support finding of jurisdiction); DeAguillar v. Boeing Co., 47 F.3d 1404, 1412 (5th Cir. 1995) (stating that "defendant can show by a preponderance of the evidence that the amount in controversy is greater than the jurisdictional amount"); accord Gaus v. Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566-67 (9th Cir. 1992); White v. FCI USA, Inc., 319 F.3d 672, 674 (5th Cir. 2003) (facially apparent from the lengthy list of damages, combined with a claim for attorney's fees, that plaintiff's claim exceeded the jurisdictional threshold).

8

6

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

Defendant discusses *infra* the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint that are the subject of this matter solely to demonstrate that the amount in controversy in this matter exceeds \$5,000,000.00. In doing so, Defendant does not admit that Plaintiff is entitled to these damages or that Plaintiff will be able to recover under any of her theories.

- In determining the amount in controversy for CAFA, all potential 10. damages based on the claims in the Complaint, as well as attorney's fees, are included. Guglielmino v. McKee Foods Corp., 506 F.3d 696, 701 (9th Cir. 2007) (unspecified attorney's fees are appropriately counted toward the amount in controversy in CAFA removal actions); Muniz v. Pilot Travel Centers LLC, 2007 WL 1302504, \*3 (E.D. Cal., May 1, 2007) (slip copy) ("In measuring the amount in controversy, a court must assume that the allegations of the complaint are true and that a jury will return a verdict for the plaintiffs on all claims made in the complaint.").
- Plaintiff's Complaint asserts causes of action against Defendant for 11. alleged violations of California Labor Code §§ 1194, 223, 510, 1198, 226.7(a), 512(a), 226(a), 2800, 2802, 202, 203, and California Business and Professions Code § 17200. (Complaint, generally). Plaintiff seeks, inter alia, unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime wages, unpaid missed meal and rest period premiums, unpaid business-related expenses, statutory penalties, and attorneys' fees on behalf of herself and the putative class members. (See generally Complaint, Prayer for Relief).
- As noted above, CROSSMARK employed at least 1,778 individuals as 12. non-exempt or hourly Retail Representatives and/or Data Collectors in California from February 28, 2012 to the present. (Stewart Decl. ¶ 3.) From February 28, 2012 to October 21, 2013 (i.e., thirty (30) days prior to the filing of this removal petition), 994 putative class members ended their employment with CROSSMARK. (Stewart Decl. ¶ 4).

- 13. All of CROSSMARK's non-exempt employees working in California are paid on a weekly basis (*i.e.*, 52 times per year). (Stewart Decl. ¶ 6). From October 9, 2012, through the to the present (which is only one year prior to the filing of the instant lawsuit), the putative class received 46,014 weekly wage statements for weeks worked and earned an average hourly wage of \$11.34. (Stewart Decl., ¶¶ 5, 7.)
- 14. <u>Unpaid wages for "off-the-clock" work.</u> In her Complaint, Plaintiff alleges that CROSSMARK required Plaintiff and the putative class to engage in numerous daily tasks while "off-the-clock," including (a) answering emails, (b) downloading schedules, project assignments, and instructions before the workday, and (c) uploading questionnaires, digital pictures, comments and reports after the workday. (Complaint, ¶¶ 21-25.) Plaintiff further alleges that "[t]hese unpaid job requirements took between *one* (1) to four (4) or more hours to complete each day." (Id. at ¶ 25 (emphasis added).) Based on these allegations, Plaintiff seeks unpaid wages for herself and the putative class. Assuming conservatively that each putative class member worked one (1) hour "off the clock" each workday (i.e., five unpaid hours each workweek) from only October 9, 2012 through the present, the total amount of unpaid wages is at least \$2,608,993.80 (i.e., 5 hours x \$11.34 per hour x 46,014 weekly wage statements for weeks worked).
- 15. <u>Inaccurate Wage Statement Statutory Penalties</u>. In addition, Plaintiff alleges that CROSSMARK failed to provide employees with complete and accurate wage statements that included the total number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the putative class. (Complaint, ¶ 93.) Further, as discussed *supra*, Plaintiff alleges that she and the putative class worked between one to four "off-the-clock" hours per week which, by definition, were not reflected on Plaintiff and the putative class' respective wage statements. (*Id.* at ¶¶ 21-25.) Based on these allegations, Plaintiff seeks statutory penalties for herself and the putative class pursuant to Labor Code section 226(e)(1) which provides for a \$50.00 statutory penalty per wage statement

"for the initial pay period in which a violation occurs" and a \$100 statutory penalty per wage statement "for each violation in a subsequent pay period." As is discussed supra, all of CROSSMARK's non-exempt employees working in California are paid on a weekly basis (i.e., 52 times per year). (Stewart Decl. ¶ 6). Further, as is also discussed supra, between October 9, 2012 and November 18, 2013, CROSSMARK issued a total of 46,014 weekly wage statements to Plaintiff and the putative class. (Stewart Decl., ¶ 7). Assuming conservatively that Plaintiff and the putative class would seek only a \$50.00 statutory penalty for each allegedly inaccurate wage statement, the total amount of statutory penalties potentially available to Plaintiff and the putative class is \$2,300,700.00 (46,014 wage statements x \$50.00 statutory penalty per wage statement).

- 16. Waiting-time penalties. Plaintiff's Complaint also alleges that CROSSMARK failed to timely pay all wages due employees at the time of termination in violation of Labor Code Section 203. (Complaint, ¶¶ 108-110.) Labor Code Section 203 provides that "[i]f an employer willfully fails to pay . . . any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid or until an action therefor is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than 30 days." Based on the number of employees whose employment ended from February 28, 2012 to October 21, 2013 (i.e., 30 days prior to the filing of this removal petition), the total amount of waiting-time penalties potentially due all terminated employees is \$2,705,270.40 (i.e., 8 hours per day x \$11.34 per hour x 30 days x 994 terminated employees).
- 17. Plaintiff's Complaint also seeks numerous other forms of compensatory damages, penalties, and attorneys' fees for unpaid overtime (Third Cause of Action), unpaid meal and rest break premiums (Fourth and Fifth Causes of Action), and unpaid business-related expenses (Seventh Cause of Action). Although including these damages, penalties, and fees would significantly increase the amount in

controversy, in an effort to be conservative, CROSSMARK has not included these claims in its removal petition.

- 18. The total amount in controversy, limited only to Plaintiff's claims for unpaid wages due to working "off-the-clock", statutory penalties for allegedly inaccurate wage statements and waiting-time-penalties discussed above, is \$7,614,964.42. Although Defendant specifically denies Plaintiff's claims and denies that Plaintiff will recover any of the relief she seeks, it is clear from the scope of the relief sought that the amount in controversy arising from Plaintiff's Complaint exceeds the \$5,000,000.00 jurisdictional threshold for removal under CAFA. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d).
- 19. As required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(d), a copy of the original Notice of Removal will be filed with the Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Removal, to be filed in Los Angeles County Superior Court, without the exhibits, is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.

DATED: November 21, 2013

OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

Rafael G. Nendel-Flores

Lara C. de Leon

Attorneys for Defendant CROSSMARK, INC.

# EXHIBIT A

	)	SUM-100	
(CI7	SUMMONS ACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)	
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: PAVISO AL DEMANDADO): CROSSMARK, INC., a Del	aware Corporation, and DOES 1-10,	CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED SUPERIOR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
∕inclusive, )YOU ARE BEING SUED BY P <i>(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL</i>	LAINTIFF: DEMANDANTE):	OCT 092013	
GAYLE SMITH, individual general public similarly situ	DEMANDANTE): ly, and on behalf of other members of the Johnated,	n A. Clarke, Executive Onto- By Amber Hayes, Deputy	
NOTICE! You have been sued. The c	ourt may decide against you without your being heard unless	you respond within 30 days. Read the information	
case. I here may be a count form that: Online Self-Help Center (www.courlin: the count clerk for a fee walver form. If may be taken without further warning: There are other legal requirements referral service. If you cannot afford at these nonprofit groups at the Californi. (www.courlinfo.cs.gow/selfnelp), or by costs on any settlement or arbitration of jAVISOI Lo nen demandado. Si no re continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO di corte y hacer que se entregue una cop en formato legal correcto si desee que Puede encontrar estos formularios de bibliotece de leyes de su condado o er que le dé un formulario de exención de podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes	. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know a tetomey, you may be eligible for free legal services from a new a Legal Services Web site (www.tawhelpcalifornia.org), the Categoral Services web site (www.tawhelpcalifornia.org), the Categoral Services web site (www.tawhelpcalifornia.org), the Categoral Services web as a contract of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must sponde dentro de 30 dies, to corte puede decidir en su contract espués de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales poite al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formul la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Corte la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a llemno, puede pagar la cuota de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a llemno, puede	as and more information at the California Courts nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property low an attorney, you may want to call an attorney conprofit legal services program. You can locate alifornia Courts Online Self-Help Center. The court has a statutory lien for walved fees and the paid before the court will dismiss the case. It is nescuchar su versión. Lea la información a sera presentar una respuesta por escrito tiene que estar ario que usied pueda usar para su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar ario que usied pueda usar para su respuesta es de California (www.eucorte.ca.gov), en la ce persentación, pida al secretario de la corte le perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte ie	
remision à abogados. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: I cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de	mendeble que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no col er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pa a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.go Por ley, la corte tiene deracho a reclamar las cuotas y fos cost as de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de a que la corte pueda desechar el caso.	ra obtaner servicios legales gratuitos de un el altio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el los exentes por imponer un grayamen sobre	
remision a abogados. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: l cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 é n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte e.	mendeble que flame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no col er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pa a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.go Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los cost aés de valor recibida madiante un acuerdo o una concesión de e que la corte pueda desechar el caso. is: s): Los Angeles Superior Court	ra obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el sitio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el los exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre e arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que	
programa de servicios legales sin fines programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: I cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó m pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court	mendeble que flame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no col er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pa a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.go Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los cost aés de valor recibida madiante un acuerdo o una concesión de e que la corte pueda desechar el caso. is: s): Los Angeles Superior Court	ra obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el altio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el os exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre e erbitraje en un caso de daracho civil. Tiene que	
remision a abogacos. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: l cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 é n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte el 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angel The name, address, and telephone (El nombre, la dirección y el número	mendeble que flame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no col er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos pa a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.go Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los cost aés de valor recibida madiante un acuerdo o una concesión de e que la corte pueda desechar el caso. is: s): Los Angeles Superior Court	cra obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el aitio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el los exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre el erbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que CASE NUMBER: (Número del Ceso):	
remision a abogacios. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: legalquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó ni pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte el 111 N. Hill Street, Los Ange. The name, address, and telephone (El nombre, la dirección y el número Kawahito Shraga & Westrick DATE: (Feche)	mendeble que l'ame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no coi er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos par a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de les Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gor Por ley, la corte tiene deracho a reclamar las cuotas y los costas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de que la corte puede desechar el caso.  is:  s): Los Angeles Superior Court  les, CA 90012  number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atto de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema LLP., 1990 S. Bundy, Suite 280, Los Angele Clerk, by  Clerk, by  (Secretario)	cra obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el aitio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el los exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre el erbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que CASE NUMBER: (Número del Ceso):	
remsion a abogados. Si ho puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: l cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 é n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte el 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angel The name, address, and telephone (El nombre, la dirección y el número Kawahito Shraga & Westrick DATE: (Fecha)	mendeble que flame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no colar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos par a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de les Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gordey, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de que le corte pueda desechar el caso.  is: s): Los Angeles Superior Court les, CA 90012  number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atto de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema LLP., 1990 S. Bundy, Suite 280, Los Angeles Clerk, by (Secretario)  s. use Proef. of Service of Summons (form POS-010) 1	ca obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el aitio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el los exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre el erbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que conserve del Case NUMBER: (Número del Caso):  CASE NUMBER: (Número del Caso):  BC\$23981  Triney, is: ndante que no tiene abogado, es): 28, CA 90025  ATTIONET HOYES  Deputy (Adjunto)	
remision a abogacios. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcallfornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: I cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte e 111 N. Hill Street, Los Ange. The name, address, and telephone (El nombre, la dirección y el número Kawahito Shraga & Westrick DATE: (Fecha)  (For proof of service of this summon (Para prueba de entrega de esta cital	mendeble que l'ame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no coi er a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos par a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de les Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gor Por ley, la corte tiene deracho a reclamar las cuotas y los costas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de que la corte puede desechar el caso.  is:  s): Los Angeles Superior Court  les, CA 90012  number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atto de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema LLP., 1990 S. Bundy, Suite 280, Los Angele Clerk, by  Clerk, by  (Secretario)	content servicios legales gratuitos de un el aitio web de California Legal Services, vi o poniéndose en contacto con la corie o el los exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre el erbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que contente del Ceso):  CASE NUMBER: (Número del Ceso):  BC\$2\$3981  Trieney, is: ndante que no tiene abogado, es): es, CA 90025  ATTIONET Hayes , Deputy (Adjunto)	
remision a abogados. Si no puede pag programa de servicios legales sin fines (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Cen colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: l cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 é n pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de The name and address of the court (El nombre y dirección de la corte el 111 N. Hill Street, Los Ange.  The name, address, and telephone (El nombre, la dirección y el número Kawahito Shraga & Westrick DATE: (Fecha)  (For proof of service of this summon (Para prueba de entrega de esta cita SEAL)  SEALI  3.	mendeble que flame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no colar a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos par a de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en tro de Ayuda de les Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gordey, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costas de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de que le corte pueda desechar el caso.  is: s): Los Angeles Superior Court les, CA 90012  number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an atto de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del dema a LLP., 1990 S. Bundy, Suite 280, Los Angeles Clerk, by  Clerk, by  (Secretario)  s, use Procede de la concesión de Summons (form POS-010).)  atión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (FICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served  as an individual defendant.  as the person sued under the fictitious name of (service)	content servicios legales gratuitos de un el aitio web de California Legal Services, v) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el los exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre el erbitreje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que explicación del Ceso:  CASE NUMBER: (Número del Ceso):  CASE NUMBER: (Nú	ō.fo.q

### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT - CLASS ACTION CASES Case Number

THIS FORM IS TO BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT BC 52 3 9 8 1

ASSIGNED JUDGE	DEPT	v (Local Rule 3.3 ROOM
Judge Elihu M. Berle	323	1707
Judge Lee Smalley Edmon	322	1702
Judge John Shepard Wiley, Jr.	311	1408
Judge Kenneth Freeman	310.	1412
Judge Jane Johnson	308	1415
Judge William F. Highberger	307	1402
OTHER		

Instructions for handling Class Action Civil Cases

The following critical provisions of the Chapter Three Rules, as applicable in the Central District, are summarized for your assistance.

### APPLICATION

The Chapter Three Rules were effective January 1, 1994. They apply to all general civil cases.

### PRIORITY OVER OTHER RULES

The Chapter Three Rules shall have priority over all other Local Rules to the extent the others are inconsistent.

### CHALLENGE TO ASSIGNED JUDGE

A challenge under Code of Civil Procedure section 170.6 must be made within 15 days after notice of assignment for all purposes to a judge, or if a party has not yet appeared, within 15 days of the first appearance.

### TIME STANDARDS

Cases assigned to the Individual Calendaring Court will be subject to processing under the following time standards:

COMPLAINTS: All complaints shall be served within 60 days of filing and proof of service shall be filed within 90 days of filing.

CROSS-COMPLAINTS: Without leave of court first being obtained, no cross-complaint may be filed by any party after their answer is filed. Cross-complaints shall be served within 30 days of the filing date and a proof of service filed within 60 days of the filing date.

A Status Conference will be scheduled by the assigned Independent Calendar Judge no later than 270 days after the filing of the complaint. Counsel must be fully prepared to discuss the following issues: alternative dispute resolution, bifurcation, settlement, trial date, and expert witnesses.

### FINAL STATUS CONFERENCE

The Court will require the parties at a status conference not more than 10 days before the trial to have timely filed and served all motions in limine, bifurcation motions, statements of major evidentiary issues, dispositive motions, requested jury instructions, and special jury instructions and special jury verdicts. These matters may be heard and resolved at this conference. At least 5 days before this conference, counsel must also have exchanged lists of exhibits and witnesses and have submitted to the court a brief statement of the case to be read to the jury panel as required by Chapter Eight of the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

### SANCTIONS

The court will impose appropriate sanctions for the failure or refusal to comply with Chapter Three Rules, orders made by the Court, and time standards or deadlines established by the Court or by the Chapter Three Rules. Such sanctions may be on a party or if appropriate on counsel for the party.

This is not a complete delineation of the Chapter Three Rules, and adherence only to the above provisions is therefore not a guarantee against the sanctions under Trial Court Delay Reduction. Careful reading and compliance with the santing Chapter Rules is absolutely imperative.			
Given to the Plaintiff/Cross-Complainant/Attorney of Record on	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk		
E	ByAmbar Haves_, Deputy Clerk		

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

ant27

CONFORMED COPY KAWAHITO SHRAGA & WESTRICK LLP SHAWN C. WESTRICK (BAR NO. 235313) TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY (BAR NO. 286317) OCT 092013 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 280 Los Angeles, California 90025 John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk Phone: (310) 746-5300 By Amber Hayes, Deputy Fax: (310) 593-2520 E-Mail: swestrick@kswlawyers.com thennessy@kswlawyers.com Attorneys for Plaintiff and Class Members SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** BC523981 Case No. GAYLE SMITH, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT situated, (1) Violation of California Labor Code § 1194 Plaintiff, (Unpaid Minimum Wage) CROSSMARK, INC., a Delaware Corporation. (2) Violation of California Labor Code § 223 and DOES 1-10, inclusive. (Failure to Pay Agreed Upon Wages) Defendants, (3) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 510 and 1198 (Failure to Pay Overtime); (4) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7(a) and 512(a) (Denial of Meal Breaks); (5) Violation of California Labor Code § 226.7(a) (Denial of Rest Breaks): (6) Violation of California Labor Code § 226(a) (Improper Wage Statements); (7) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 2800 and 2802 (Failure to Reimburse Incidental Expenses); (8) Violation of California Labor Code §§ 202 and 203 (Failure to Pay Owed Wages at Termination): (9) Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.

Class Action Complaint

Jury Trial Demanded

PLAINTIFF GAYLE SMITH ("PLAINTIFF"), individually and on behalf of all other members of the public similarly situated, alleges as follows:

3

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

**4** 5 1) This Class Action Complaint is brought pursuant to California Code of Civil
Procedure § 382. The monetary damages and restitution sought by PLAINTIFF exceeds the
minimal jurisdiction limits of the Superior Court and will be established according to proof at trial.

7

6

The amount in controversy for the class representative, including claims for compensatory damages and pro rata share of attorney fees, is less than \$75,000.

8

2) This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to the California Constitution,

10

Article VI, § 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those

11

given by statute to other courts." The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify

12

any other basis for jurisdiction.

13

This Court has jurisdiction over DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.

14

("DEFENDANT") because, upon information and belief, it has sufficient minimum contacts in California or otherwise intentionally avails itself of the California market so as to render the

15 16

exercise of jurisdiction over it by the California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair

17

play and substantial justice.

18

19

4) Venue is proper in this Court because, upon information and belief, the named DEFENDANT transacts business, has offices in this county, and the acts and omissions alleged

20 lh

herein took place in this county.

the United States of America.

21

### THE PARTIES

22

5) PLAINTIFF is a resident of Los Angeles County in the State of California.

23 24 6) DEFENDANT was and is, upon information and belief, a Delaware corporation

25

doing business in California, and at all times hereinafter mentioned, an employer whose employees are engaged throughout this county, the State of California, and/or the various states of

26

7) PLAINTIFF is unaware of the true names or capacities of the DEFENDANTS sued

V

herein under the fictitious names DOES 1-10, but prays for leave to amend and serve such fictitiously named DEFENDANTS pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474 once their names and capacities become known.

- 8) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DOES 1-10 are the partners, agents, owners, shareholders, managers or employees of DEFENDANT, and were acting on behalf of DEFENDANT.
- 9) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein was performed by, or is attributable to, DEFENDANT and DOES 1-10 (collectively "DEFENDANTS"), each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all DEFENDANTS were in accordance with, and represent the official policy of, DEFENDANT.
- At all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, ratified each and every act or omission complained of herein. At all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANTS, and each of them, aided and abetted the acts and omissions of each and all the other DEFENDANTS in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of said
  DEFENDANTS is in some manner intentionally, negligently or otherwise responsible for the acts,
  omissions, occurrences and transactions alleged herein.

### CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 12) PLAINTIFF brings this action on her own behalf, as well as on behalf of each and all other persons similarly situated, and thus seeks class certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382.
- 13) All claims alleged herein arise under California law for which PLAINTIFF seeks relief authorized by California law.
  - 14) The proposed class is comprised of and defined as:

    All non-exempt or hourly paid employees who have been employed by

    DEFENDANT in the State of California in the position of Territory Retail

)

Representative, Merchandiser, Data Collector, or those positions with similar duties and/or similar titles, within four years prior to the filing of this complaint until resolution of this lawsuit.

- 15) There is a well-defined community of interest in the litigation and the class is easily ascertainable:
- a. <u>Numerosity</u>: The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members would be unfeasible and impractical. The membership of the entire class is unknown to PLAINTIFF at this time, however, the class is estimated to be greater than 50 individuals and the identity of such membership is readily ascertainable by inspection of DEFENDANT'S employment records.
- b. <u>Typicality</u>: PLAINTIFF is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well-defined community of interest, and PLAINTIFF'S claims (or defenses, if any) are typical of all class members' as demonstrated herein.
- c. Adequacy: PLAINTIFF is qualified to, and will, fairly and adequately, protect the interests of each class member with whom she has a well-defined community of interest and typicality of claims, as demonstrated herein. PLAINTIFF acknowledges that she has an obligation to make known to the Court any relationship, conflicts or differences with any class member. PLAINTIFF'S attorneys and the proposed class counsel are versed in the rules governing class action discovery, certification, and settlement. PLAINTIFF has incurred, and throughout the duration of this action will continue to incur, costs and attorneys' fees that have been, are, and will be necessarily expended for the prosecution of this action for the substantial benefit of each class member.
- d. <u>Superiority</u>: The nature of this action makes the use of class action adjudication superior to other methods. A class action will achieve economies of time, effort and expense as compared to separate lawsuits, and will avoid inconsistent outcomes because the same issues can be adjudicated in the same manner and at the same time for the entire class.

1 Public Policy Considerations: Employers of this state violate employment e. 2 and labor laws every day. Current employees are often afraid to assert their rights out of fear of direct or indirect retaliation. Former employees are fearful of bringing actions because they 3 believe their former employers may damage their future endeavors through negative references 4 and/or other means. Class actions provide the class members who are not named in the complaint 5 with a type of anonymity that allows for the vindication of their rights while protecting their 6 7 privacy. 8 There are common questions of law and fact as to the class that predominate over 16) 9 questions affecting only individual members, including but not limited to: 10 Whether DEFENDANT'S failure to pay wages, without abatement or 11 reduction, in accordance with the California Labor Code, was willful: 12 Whether DEFENDANT deprived PLAINTIFF and the other class members Ъ. of meal periods or required PLAINTIFF and the class members to work during meal periods 13 14 without compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7; 15 Whether DEFENDANT deprived PLAINTIFF and the other class members c. of rest periods or required PLAINTIFF and the class members to work during rest periods without 17 compensation pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7; 18 d. Whether DEFENDANT complied with wage reporting as required by the 19 California Labor Code; including but not limited to section 226; 20 Whether DEFENDANT did not reimburse, and/or failed to reimburse e. 21 correctly, PLAINTIFF and other class members for out of pocket expenses actually and necessarily incurred while discharging their duties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2800 22 23 and 2802; 24 f. Whether DEFENDANT failed to pay all overtime owed to PLAINTIFF and 25 the other class members; 26 Whether DEFENDANT engaged in unfair business practices in violation of 27 California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.; and, 28

The appropriate amount of damages, restitution, or monetary penalties h. resulting from DEFENDANT'S violations of California law.

3

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

4 5

non-exempt or hourly paid employees.

6

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

- At all times set forth, DEFENDANT employed PLAINTIFF and other persons as 17)
- DEFENDANT provides a merchandising service for product manufactures. This 18) entails sending Territory Retail Representatives from store to store to help ensure that clients' products are stocked and displayed in the required manner and that current promotions are being followed.
- 19) DEFENDANT currently employs PLAINTIFF as a Territory Retail Representative at DEFENDANT'S Anaheim, California business location. PLAINTIFF began employment with DEFENDANT on or around January of 2012.
  - DEFENDANT pays PLAINTIFF and other class members a flat hourly rate. 20)
- In order for PLAINTIFF and other class members to be prepared for their workday, 21) DEFENDANT required PLAINTIFF and other class members, while off-duty and not being paid, to log onto the CROSSMARK website to answer emails and download schedules, project assignments and instructions needed for each workday.
- 22) PLAINTIFF and other class members could only learn of their daily and weekly projects, as well as necessary instructions, through logging onto the CROSSMARK website.
- After PLAINTIFF and other class members would leave their last assignment, and 23) were considered "off the clock" and not being paid, DEFENDANT required that PLAINTIFF and other class members log onto the CROSSMARK website to upload questionnaires, digital pictures, comments, and reports of PLAINTIFF and class members' completed work.
- DEFENDANT did not consider the assigned daily tasks complete until 24) PLAINTIFF and other class members uploaded the required materials. It was mandatory.
- 25) DEFENDANT did not compensate PLAINTIFF and other class members for the hours spent on the CROSSMARK website downloading assignment information before the

7<sup>.</sup> 

workday and uploading project related questionnaires and photos of completed work after each workday. These unpaid job requirements took between one (1) to four (4) or more hours to complete each day.

- 26) DEFENDANT also did not account for these hours when calculating overtime.
- 27) DEFENDANT did not reimburse, or failed to reimburse correctly, PLAINTIFF and other class members for out of pocket expenses actually and necessarily incurred while discharging their duties. This includes, but is not limited to: money spent on paper needed to print daily reports; money spent on digital cameras needed to take pictures of completed projects; money spent on cell phone service needed to respond to calls in the field throughout the day; money spent on obtaining internet service needed to download and upload required materials; and, mileage accumulated on their personal vehicles that were used throughout the course of the workday.
- 28) DEFENDANT set a "budgeted" or "projected" number of hours that PLAINTIFF and other class members were permitted to spend on completing daily projects at retail stores.
- 29) The tasks at retail stores that PLAINTIFF and other class members were required to complete regularly took longer than the number of hours that DEFENDANT prescribed for the tasks, often resulting in PLAINTIFF and other class members working more than eight (8) hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a work week.
- 30) DEFENDANT would not voluntarily pay any overtime incurred due to having to work beyond the allotted time. PLAINTIFF would have to request an adjustment for the actual time already worked, which was subject to approval by a supervisor. PLAINTIFF is informed and believes that several times these adjustments were denied.
- 31) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANT was advised by skilled lawyers, professionals, employees, and knowledgeable advisors about California labor and wage law, employment and personnel practices, and about the requirements of California law.
  - 32) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT

knew or should have known that PLAINTIFF and other class members were entitled to receive all the wages owed to them for the total number of hours worked.

- 33) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT knew or should have known that PLAINTIFF and other class members were entitled to receive overtime pay for all remuneration.
- 34) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT knew or should have known that PLAINTIFF and other class members were entitled to receive all meal breaks or payment of one hour of pay at PLAINTIFF and class members' regular rate of pay when a meal break was missed.
- 35) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT knew or should have known that PLAINTIFF and other class members were entitled to receive all rest breaks or payment of one hour of pay at PLAINTIFF and class members' regular rate of pay when a rest break was missed.
- 36) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that DEFENDANT knew or should have known that PLAINTIFF and other class members were entitled to receive complete and accurate wage statements in accordance with California law.
- 37) PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANT knew or should have known that it had a duty to fully compensate PLAINTIFF and other members of the class for expenses actually and necessarily incurred while discharging their duties.
- PLAINTIFF is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, DEFENDANT knew or should have known that it had a duty to compensate PLAINTIFF and other members of the class and had the financial ability to pay such compensation, but willfully, knowingly and intentionally failed to do so,
- 39) DEFENDANT continues to employ non-exempt or hourly paid employees within California.
  - 40) California Labor Code section 218 states that nothing in Article 1 of the Labor

Code shall limit the right of any wage claimant to "sue directly...for any wages or penalty due him [or her] under this article."

41) Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2699.3, PLAINTIFF provided written notice to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency; stating the specific alleged violations of the Labor Code and facts that support said allegations as well as informing the Labor and Workforce Development Agency that PLAINTIFF would seek to recover all civil penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

### Violation of California Labor Code § 1194

- 42) PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 41.
- 43) California Labor Code section 218 authorizes employees to sue directly for any wages or penalties due to them under the Labor Code.
- 44) California Labor Code section 1194 provides that notwithstanding any agreement to work for a lesser wage, any employee receiving less than the legal minimum wage applicable to the employee is entitled to recover in a civil action the unpaid balance of the full amount of the applicable minimum wage, including interest thereon, reasonable attorneys' fees, and the costs of the lawsuit.
- 45) During the relevant time period, DEFENDANT willfully failed to pay PLAINTIFF and other class members the applicable minimum wage for the total number of hours spent completing assigned daily projects, as well as the time spent downloading and uploading required and necessary information from the CROSSMARK website while off the clock.
- 46) DEFENDANT'S willful failure to pay PLAINTIFF and other class members their applicable minimum wages for each hour worked during the workweek is in violation of California Labor Code section 1194, and is therefore unlawful.
- 47) Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover their unpaid minimum wages, as well as interest, costs and

Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq., PLAINTIFF and other

27

28

56)

class members are entitled to recover all civil penalties owed to the Labor and workforce Development Agency.

3

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

4

### Violation of California Labor Code § 510

6

58)

hours in a workweek.

5

PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the 57) material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 56.

7 8

9

California Labor Code section 1198 and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission ("IWC") Wage Order provide that it is unlawful to employ persons without compensating them for overtime hours at a rate of pay either time-and-one-half or two-times that

11

person's regular rate of pay, depending on the number of hours worked by the person on a daily or weekly basis.

12 13

Specifically, the applicable IWC Wage Order provides that DEFENDANT is and 59) was required to pay PLAINTIFF and class members employed by DEFENDANT, and working more than eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40) hours in a workweek, at the rate of timeand-one-half for all hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or more than forty (40)

15 16

17

14

The applicable IWC Wage Order further provides that DEFENDANT is and was 60) required to pay PLAINTIFF and class members employed by DEFENDANT, and working more than twelve (12) hours in a day, overtime compensation at a rate of two times their regular rate of pay.

19

20

21

22

California Labor Code section 510 codifies the right to overtime compensation at 61) one-and-one-half times the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day or forty (40) hours in a week or for the first eight (8) hours worked on the seventh day of

23 24

work, and to overtime compensation at twice the regular hourly rate for hours worked in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day or in excess of eight (8) hours in a day on the seventh day of work.

25

During the relevant time period, PLAINTIFF and class members consistently worked in excess of eight (8) hours in a day, in excess of twelve (12) hours in a day, and/or in

26 27

excess of forty (40) hours in a week and should have been paid overtime compensation at either time-and-one-half or two-times that person's regular rate of pay.

- DEFENDANT willfully failed to pay PLAINTIFF and other class members all
- In the instances when DEFENDANT did pay overtime it was due to a requested adjustment by PLAINTIFF and class members when the completed projected had taken longer than the time allotted. Approval was at the discretion of the supervisor, regardless of the fact the
- DEFENDANT'S willful failure to pay PLAINTIFF and class members' all overtime compensation, as required by California laws, violates the provisions of California Labor
- Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, PLAINTIFF and class members are entitled to recover their unpaid overtime compensation, as well as interest, costs and attorneys'
- Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq., PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce

### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7(a) and 512(a)

- PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the
- At all times herein set forth, the California IWC Order and California Labor Code §§ 226.7(a) and 512(a) were applicable to PLAINTIFF and the other class members employed by
- At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 226.7(a) provided that no employer shall require an employee to work during any meal period mandated by an applicable

- At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 512(a) provided that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than five (5) hours per day without providing the employee with a meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total work period per day of the employee is not more than six (6) hours, the meal period may be waived by mutual consent of both the employer and the employee.
- 72) At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code section 512(a) further provided that an employer may not require, cause or permit an employee to work for a period of more than ten (10) hours per day without providing the employee with a second meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes, except that if the total of hours worked is no more than twelve (12) hours, the second meal period may be waived by mutual consent of the employer and the employee only if the first meal period was not waived.
- 73) During the relevant time period, PLAINTIFF and the other members of the class who were scheduled to work for a period of time no longer than six (6) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent, were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without a meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 74) During the relevant time period, PLAINTIFF and the other class members who were scheduled to work for a period of time in excess of six (6) hours were required to work for periods longer than five (5) hours without receiving a meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- During the relevant time period, PLAINTIFF and other members of the class who were required to work in excess of ten (10) hours but not longer than twelve (12) hours, and who did not waive their legally-mandated meal periods by mutual consent were required to work in excess of ten (10) hours without receiving a second meal period of not less than thirty (30) minutes.
- 76) During the relevant time period, PLAINTIFF and the other class members who were required to work for a period of time in excess of twelve (12) hours were required to work for periods longer than ten (10) hours without receiving a meal period of not less than thirty (30)

26

27

related expenses and costs, including but not limited to; the purchase of printing paper; digital cameras; mileage; and, internet service, in order to discharge their duties.

- 103) DEFENDANT failed to reimburse PLAINTIFF and class members for these expenses and costs, or did so improperly.
- 104) PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover from DEFENDANT their business-related expenses incurred during the discharge of their duties.
- 105) Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq., PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### Violation of California Labor Code §§ 201 and 202

- 106) PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 105.
- 14 107) At all times herein set forth, California Labor Code sections 201 and 202 provided that if an employer discharges an employee, the wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge are due and payable immediately, and that if an employee voluntarily leaves his or her employment, his or her wages shall become due and payable not later than seventy-two (72) hours thereafter, unless the employee has given seventy-two (72) hours previous notice of his or her intention to quit, in which case the employee is entitled to his or her wages at the time of quitting.
  - 108) During the relevant time period, DEFENDANT willfully failed to pay PLAINTIFF and the other class members who are no longer employed by DEFENDANT their wages, earned and unpaid, either at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving DEFENDANT'S employ.
  - 109) DEFENDANT'S failure to pay PLAINTIFF and those class members who are no longer employed by DEFENDANT their wages earned and unpaid at the time of discharge, or within seventy-two (72) hours of their leaving DEFENDANT'S employ, is in violation of California Labor Code sections 201 and 202.

110) California Labor Code section 203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay wages owed, in accordance with sections 201 and 202, then the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date, and at the same rate until paid or until an action is commenced; but the wages shall not continue for more than thirty (30) days.

- 111) PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover from DEFENDANT the statutory penalty for each day they were not paid, at their regular hourly rate of pay, up to a thirty (30) day maximum, pursuant to California Labor Code section 203.
- 112) Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq., PLAINTIFF and other class members are entitled to recover all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

### NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### Violation of California Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq.

- 113) PLAINTIFF incorporates by reference and re-alleges as if fully stated herein the material allegations set out in paragraphs 1 through 112.
- DEFENDANT'S conduct, as alleged in this complaint, has been, and continues to be, unfair, unlawful, and harmful to the PLAINTIFF, the other members of the class, and the general public. PLAINTIFF seeks to enforce important rights affecting the public interest within the meaning of Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5.
- 115) DEFENDANT'S activities as alleged herein are violations of California law, and constitute unlawful business acts and practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq.
- be predicated on the violation of any state or federal law. In the instant case, DEFENDANT'S policy and practice of requiring employees, including PLAINTIFF and class members, to work through their meal and rest breaks without paying them proper compensation violates California Labor Code sections 226.7(a) and 512(a). Moreover, DEFENDANT'S policy and practice of requiring work of the clock, failing to reimburse actual and necessary incurred costs and

1 intentionally underpaying the overtime and wages owed to PLAINTIFF and the other class 2 members is unfair, unlawful, and harmful to PLAINTIFF, the other class members, and to the 3 general public. 117) PLAINTIFF and the putative class members have been personally aggrieved by 4 DEFENDANT'S unlawful business acts and practices alleged herein by the loss of money or 6 property. 7 Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code sections 17200, et seq., PLAINTIFF and the putative class members are entitled to restitution of the wages withheld and retained by DEFENDANT during a period that commences four years prior to the filing of this complaint; a permanent injunction requiring DEFENDANT to pay all outstanding wages due to class members; an award of attorneys' fees pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 11 12 1021.5 and other applicable laws; and an award of costs. 13 REQUEST FOR JURY TRIAL 14 PLAINTIFF requests a trial by jury. 15 16 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 17 PLAINTIFF prays for relief and judgment against DEFENDANT, as follows: 18 Class Certification 19 1. That this action be certified as a class action: 20 2. That PLAINTIFF be appointed as representative of the class: and. 21 3. That counsel for PLAINTIFF be appointed as class Counsel. 22 As to the First Cause of Action 23 1. That the Court declare, adjudge and decree that DEFENDANT violated California 24 Labor Code section 1194 by wilfully failing to pay all applicable minimum wages due to PLAINTIFF and class members; 25 26 2. For general unpaid minimum wages and such general and special damages as may 27 be appropriate; 28

Class Action Complaint

1 the date such amounts were due; 2 5. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 3 California Labor Code section 1194(a); 4 6. For any and all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development 5 Agency as pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.; and, 6 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate. 7 7 As to the Fourth Cause of Action 8 For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to 1. 9 proof; 10 2. For wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b): 11 3. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein: 12 4. For any and all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency as pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.; and, 14 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate. 15 As to the Fifth Cause of Action 16 1. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof; 17 2. For wages pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7(b); 18 3. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein; 19 4. For any and all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development 20 Agency as pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seg.; and, 21 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate. 22 As to the Sixth Cause of Action 23 1. For all actual, consequential and incidental losses and damages, according to proof; 24 2. For statutory penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(e); 25 3. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to California Labor Code section 226(e); 26 27 4. For any and all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development 28

1 Agency as pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.; and, 2 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate. 3 As to the Seventh Cause of Action 4 For all actual, consequential, and incidental losses and damages, according to 1. 5 proof: 6 2. For the reimbursement of out of pocket expenses incurred during the discharge of 7 duties pursuant to California Labor Code Sections 2800 and 2802. 8 3. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein: 9 4. For any civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency as 10 pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seg.; and, 11 For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate. 5. 12 As to the Eighth Cause of Action 13 1. For all penalties pursuant to California Labor Code section 203; 14 2. For reasonable attorneys' fees and for costs of suit incurred herein; and 15 3. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate. 16 4. For any and all civil penalties owed to the Labor and Workforce Development 17 Agency as pursuant to California Labor Code section 2698 et seq.; and, 18 As to the Ninth Cause of Action 19 1. For restitution of unpaid wages to all class members and prejudgment interest from 20 the day such amounts were due and payable; 21 2. For the appointment of a receiver to receive, manage and distribute any and all 22 funds disgorged from DEFENDANT and determined to have been wrongfully acquired by 23 DEFENDANT as a result of violations of California Business & Professions Code sections 17200 24 et seq.: 25 3. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 26 California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5; 27 4. For injunctive relief to ensure compliance with this section, pursuant to California 28 - 21 -

Class Action Complaint

ļiet.	Business & Professions Code sec	ctions 17200, et seq.; and.				
2	5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem equitable and appropriate.					
3	Control of the Contro	to be and the tartier rener as the court may deem equitable and appropriate.				
4	DATED: October 8, 2013	Respectfully submitted				
. 5		KAWAHITO SHRAGA & WESTRICK LLP				
6						
7		By SHAWN C. WESTRICK				
8 9		TIMOTHY P. HENNESSY Attorneys for Plaintiff/Class Members				
10						
11						
12						
13						
14	,	•				
15						
16	,					
17						
18						
19						
20						
21   22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
		- 22 - Class Action Complaint				
		Class Action Complaint				

	`	***	CM-010		
	ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State July Shawn C. Westrick (SBN 235313)	number, end address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
Tanes	Timothy P. Hennessy (SBN 286317)				
	Kawahito Shraga & Westrick LLP 1990 South Bundy Drive, Suite 280, Los A	,			
n	TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 746-5300	FAX NO: (310) 593-2520	PART DESCRIPTION OF COMMUNICATION OF COM		
L	TOTORNEY FOR (Name): Gayle Smith		CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED SUPERIOR COUNTY OF CALLFORNIA COUNTY OF ANGELES		
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO ) STREET ADDRESS: 111 N, Hill Street	os Angeles	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALLFORNIA COUNTY OF LOW ANGELER		
(i	MAILING ADDRESS: III N. Hill Street				
0	O CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 900	12	CCT 0 9 2013		
	)) BRANCH NAME: Central	approprietk .			
9000	CASE NAME:	John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk			
	Smith v. Crossmark, Inc.		Dy Limot Indiani-		
	CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:		
ĺ	Unimited   Limited   (Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	B C 5 2 3 9 8 1		
ļ	demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	ndant JUDGE:		
l	exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402			
ſ		ow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).		
	<ol> <li>Check one box below for the case type that Auto Tort</li> </ol>	Dest describes this case:  Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation		
1	Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)		
. ]	Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)		
	Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)		
Ī	Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass fort (40)		
	Asbestos (04) Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)		
	Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)		
	Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case		
-	Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)		
***************************************	Business tort/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment		
	Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)		
	Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint		
- 1	Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19)	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	RICO (27)		
	Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)		
- [	Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Pelition		
	<u>Emp</u> loyment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)		
- 1	Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Citis position (not specimen above) (43)		
Ļ	✓ Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)			
ź	. This case is is is not comp factors requiring exceptional judicial manage	lex under rule 3.400 of the California Ri ement:	ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the		
	a. Large number of separately represented parties d. Large number of witnesses				
	b. Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel  Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel				
	issues that will be time-consuming to resolve in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal count				
	c. 🗹 Substantial amount of documentary	y evidence f. Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision		
3	. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.[	✓ monetary b. ✓ nonmonetary	declaratory or injunctive relief c. 🗸 punitive		
4					
E	5. This case  I is not a class action suit.				
6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You pray use form CM-015.)					
	Date: October 9, 2013	$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{I}}$	9 11/185		
1	imothy P. Hennessy	26(1)			
Γ	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	BIGNATURE OF VARTY OR ADDOWNEY FOR PARTY)		
	Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the fire	st paper filed in the action or proceeding	g (except small claims cases or cases filed		
	under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.				
	* File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.				
	If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all				
	other parties to the action or proceeding.  • Unless this is a collections case under rule 3	3.740 or a complex case, this cover she	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.		

	) .
Short title: Smith v. Crossmark. Inc.	CASE NUMBER B C 5 2 3 9 8 1
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDI STATEMENT OF LOCAT (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT T	ENDUM AND ION TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)
This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case	filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES THE II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps – If you step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the rease in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below who step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.	u checked "Limited Case", skip to item III, Pg. 4):  main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your e Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Locat	ion (see Column C below)
	ocation of property or permanently geraged vehicle, ocation where petitioner resides, ocation where petitioner resides, ocation wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly, ocation where one or more of the parties reside, ocation of Labor Commissioner Office
Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete It	tem IV. Sign the declaration.

	Givil Cape Chyai Sheet Saleggry No				C Applicable Reasons See Siep 3 Appre
Auto	Auto (22)	b	A <b>7</b> 100	Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Uninsured Motorist (46)	C	A7110	Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
irty art	Asbestos (04)			Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2,
Prope	Product Liability (24)		A7260	Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
ial Injury/ ongful De	Medical Malpractice (45)			Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
Uther Personal Injuryl Property Damagel Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)		A7230 A7270	Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

Smith v. Crossmark. Inc.

CASE NUMBER

	A A	<b>.</b>	
	Civil Case Cover Sneet Category No	Type 37 Action: 4 (Oheakionly ohe)	Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Apove
2012	Business Tort (07)	A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
ropert	Civil Rights (08)	☐ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3,
ury/ ul Dec	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (stander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
nat Inj frongi	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Affin hand	Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
量	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☑ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	(j), 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (05) (not insurance)	□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)     □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)     □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)     □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)    A8002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff  A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case		2., 5., 6.
	Insurance Coverage (18)	A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1.,2., 5., 8:
	Other Contract (37)	□ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7390 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parceis	2,
operty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6080 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
gd.	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A8020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unläwful Detainer- Past-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
<b>¬</b> [	Unlawful Detainer-Druge (38)	A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

SHORT TITLE: Smith v, Crossmark. Inc.

	2110 Per 1970 III 1980		
**	A GWI Gase CoverShael Galagory no	B Typa cracion (Chaekarlyona)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
view	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5,
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	A6151 Will - Administrative Mandamus     A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter     A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
, E	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A8003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Liftigat	Construction Defeat (10)	Cl A6007 Construction Defect	1,, 2., 3.
Provísionally Complex Litigation	Claims involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
25 A	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
wision	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Pro	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	□ A6160 Abstract of Judgment  □ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)  □ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)  □ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8, 9.
S &	RICO (27)	FT ACOCO Description (DIOC)	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	□ A8030 Declaratory Relief Only □ A8040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/narassment) □ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) □ A6000 Other Civil Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	☐ A6113 Parinership and Corporate Governance Case	2,, 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	(43)	□ A6123 Workplace Herassment □ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case □ A6190 Election Contest □ A6110 Polition for Change of Name □ A6170 Polition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 3. 4., 7. 4., 3., 4., 8.

)	•		)	
ark. Inc.	14-01- Land		CASE NUMBER	-
ion: Enter the addre tem II., Step 3 on I	ss of the acc	cident, party's resid he proper reason i	ence or place of busine for filling in the court lo	ss, performance, or other cation you selected.
faction that you have t	selected for	ADDRESS: 4554 North Banner D	rive, #4	
STATE:	ZIP CODE:			
CA	90807			
ve-entitled matter is	properly filed	d for assignment to	the Stanley Mosk	courthouse in the
				<i>A</i>
	ion: Enter the addrestern II., Step 3 on interest in it., Step 3 on interest interest in it., Step 3 on interest interest in it., Step 3 on interest interes	ion: Enter the address of the accient II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the boxes for the numbers shown faction that you have selected for .     State:   ZIP CODE:   CA   90807	ion: Enter the address of the accident, party's resident II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for the hoxes for the numbers shown fraction that you have selected for .     ADDRESS: 4554 North Banner Discrete that you have selected for .	ion: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of busine tem II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court locate boxes for the numbers shown faction that you have selected for .     ADDRESS: 4564 North Banner Drive, #4

### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filling a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been walved.
- A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

## VOLUNTARY EFFICIENT LITIGATION STIPULATIONS

Superior Court of California County of Log Angeles



Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section

Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section





Southern California Defense Counsel

Association of Business Trial Lawyers



California Employment Lawyere Association

The Early Organizational Meeting Stipulation, Discovery Resolution Stipulation, and Motions in Limine Stipulation are voluntary stipulations entered into by the parties. The parties may enter into one, two, or all three of the stipulations; however, they may not alter the stipulations as written, because the Court wants to ensure uniformity of application. These stipulations are meant to encourage cooperation between the parties and to assist in resolving issues in a manner that promotes economic case resolution and judicial efficiency.

The following organizations endorse the goal of promoting efficiency in litigation and ask that counsel consider using these stipulations as a voluntary way to promote communications and procedures among counsel and with the court to fairly resolve issues in their cases.

- ◆Los Angeles County Bar Association Litigation Section◆
  - ◆ Los Angeles County Bar Association Labor and Employment Law Section◆
  - ♦ Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles ♦
    - ♦ Southern California Defense Counsel ♦
    - ♦Association of Business Trial Lawyers♦
    - ♦California Employment Lawyers Association◆

HALLE AND ACCREES OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY.	**************************************	
mit ber bei ber	STATE BAR NUMBER	Posserved for Clark's File Stamp
*		
,		•
	<u>,</u>	
Telephone No.;	EAVED IN IN INC.	,
E-MAIL ADDRESS (Onlines):	FAX NO. (Optional):	
"ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	it in the first control of the control of the	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	L COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	and the second s	- '
THE STATE OF THE S		
PLAINTIFF:		
		•
DEFENDANT:		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		CASE NUMBER
STIPULATION - EARLY ORGA	ANIZATIONAL MEETING	The state of the s
	A STORT OF THE STORY OF THE STORY	,

This stipulation is intended to encourage cooperation among the parties at an early stage in the litigation and to assist the parties in efficient case resolution.

### The parties agree that:

- 1. The parties commit to conduct an initial conference (in-person or via teleconference or via videoconference) within 15 days from the date this stipulation is signed, to discuss and consider whether there can be agreement on the following:
  - a. Are motions to challenge the pleadings necessary? If the Issue can be resolved by amendment as of right, or if the Court would allow leave to amend, could an amended complaint resolve most or all of the Issues a demurrer might otherwise raise? If so, the parties agree to work through pleading Issues so that a demurrer need only raise Issues they cannot resolve. Is the Issue that the defendant seeks to raise amenable to resolution on demurrer, or would some other type of motion be preferable? Could a voluntary targeted exchange of documents or Information by any party cure an uncertainty in the pleadings?
  - b. Initial mutual exchanges of documents at the "core" of the litigation. (For example, in an
    employment case, the employment records, personnel file and documents relating to the
    conduct in question could be considered "core." In a personal injury case, an incident or
    police report, medical records, and repair or maintenance records could be considered
    "core.");
  - c. Exchange of names and contact information of witnesses;
  - d. Any insurance agreement that may be available to satisfy part or all of a judgment, or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy a judgment:
  - e. Exchange of any other information that might be helpful to facilitate understanding, handling, or resolution of the case in a manner that preserves objections or privileges by agreement;
  - f. Controlling issues of law that, if resolved early, will promote efficiency and economy in other phases of the case. Also, when and how such issues can be presented to the Court;
  - 9. Whether or when the case should be scheduled with a settlement officer, what discovery or court ruling on legal issues is reasonably required to make settlement discussions meaningful, and whether the parties wish to use a sitting judge or a private mediator or other options as

SHORTTHE			CASE MIMBER						
•									
angang at Property ang Angang	discussed in the "Alternative complaint;	Dispute Resolut	tion (ADR) Information Package" served with the						
h.	Computation of damages, in which such computation is be	cluding documen	nts not privileged or protected from disclosure, on						
· .	Whether the case is suitable for the Expedited Jury Trial procedures (see information at www.lasuperforcourt.org under "Civil" and then under "General Information").								
2.	to (INSERT DATE) complaint, which is comprise and the 30 days permitted	or the complaint d of the 30 days by Code of Civil	t a complaint or cross-complaint will be extended it, and for the cross-to respond under Government Code § 68616(b), i Procedure section 1054(a), good cause having ue to the case management benefits provided by						
3.	and Early Organizational Mi results of their meet and co efficient conduct or resolution	eeting Stipulatior nfer and advising n of the case. T	Joint Status Report Pursuant to Initial Conference n, and if desired, a proposed order summarizing up the Court of any way it may assist the parties' The parties shall attach the Joint Status Report to ment, and file the documents when the CMC						
4.	for performing that act shall i	llation falls on a (	nless otherwise noted. If the date for performing Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time he next Court day						
The fo	llowing parties stipulate:	·							
Date:		,							
Ďate:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	alan Period II a Market VIII di Amanger	(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)						
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	na de calanda de Calanda de Calanda de Calanda (Calanda Calanda Caland	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)						
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	Add Pathlack (P. P.) And type reprincipally to the Control of the	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)						
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	Prifer yystytytyt a salasanda	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)						
Dale:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	,	(ATTORNEY FOR)						
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	776/545(A)ASON kirrakundugik	(ATTORNEY FOR)						
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	-	(ATTORNEY FOR)						

MANE AND ADDREAS OF ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY:	BTATE BAR MUMBER	Nestrad for Clark's File Stamp
		•
	-	
		<b>\</b> -
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Nama):	(Optional):	
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, CO	UNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	The second secon	• •
PLAINTIFF:	ATTE MANUFACTURE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY OF THE P	
DEFENDANT:		<b>.</b>
STIPULATION - DISCOVERY	RESOLUTION	CASE NUMBER:

This stipulation is intended to provide a fast and informal resolution of discovery issues through limited paperwork and an informal conference with the Court to aid in the resolution of the issues.

### The parties agree that:

- 1. Prior to the discovery cut-off in this action, no discovery motion shall be filed or heard unless the moving party first makes a written request for an informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the terms of this stipulation.
- 2. At the Informal Discovery Conference the Court will consider the dispute presented by parties and determine whether it can be resolved informally. Nothing set forth herein will preclude a party from making a record at the conclusion of an informal Discovery Conference, either orally or in writing.
- 3. Following a reasonable and good faith attempt at an informal resolution of each issue to be presented, a party may request an informal Discovery Conference pursuant to the following procedures:
  - a. The party requesting the Informal Discovery Conference will:
    - File a Request for Informal Discovery Conference with the clerk's office on the approved form (copy attached) and deliver a courtesy, conformed copy to the assigned department;
    - ii. Include a brief summary of the dispute and specify the relief requested; and
    - III. Serve the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Request for Informal Discovery Conference no later than the next court day following the filing.
  - b. Any Answer to a Request for Informal Discovery Conference must:
    - Also be filed on the approved form (copy attached):
    - ii. Include a brief summary of why the requested relief should be denied;

EHORY TITLE:				43 4 43 7			, · *
B secondari existine		•	•	•		[ Case Number:	
1						1	•
į.	v. *	,			1.2	1	•
ł		•				1	
1						Ŗ.	
A	<del></del>			<del></del>			

- ill. Be filed within two (2) court days of receipt of the Request; and
- iv. Be served on the opposing party pursuant to any authorized or agreed upon method of service that ensures that the opposing party receives the Answer no later than the next court day following the filling.
- No other pleadings, including but not limited to exhibits, declarations, or attachments, will be accepted.
- d. If the Court has not granted or denied the Request for Informal Discovery Conference within ten (10) days following the filing of the Request, then it shall be deemed to have been denied. If the Court acts on the Request, the parties will be notified whether the Request for Informal Discovery Conference has been granted or denied and, if granted, the date and time of the Informal Discovery Conference, which must be within twenty (20) days of the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference.
- e. If the conference is not held within twenty (20) days of the filling of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, unless extended by agreement of the parties and the Court, then the Request for the Informal Discovery Conference shall be deemed to have been denied at that time.
- 4. If (a) the Court has denied a conference or (b) one of the time deadlines above has expired without the Court having acted or (c) the informal Discovery Conference is concluded without resolving the dispute, then a party may file a discovery motion to address unresolved issues.
- 5. The parties hereby further agree that the time for making a motion to compel or other discovery motion is tolled from the date of filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference until (a) the request is denied or deemed denied or (b) twenty (20) days after the filing of the Request for Informal Discovery Conference, whichever is earlier, unless extended by Order of the Court.
  - It is the understanding and intent of the parties that this stipulation shall, for each discovery dispute to which it applies, constitute a writing memorializing a "specific later date to which the propounding [or demanding or requesting] party and the responding party have agreed in writing," within the meaning of Code Civil Procedure sections 2030.300(c), 2031.320(c), and 2033.290(c).
- Nothing herein will preclude any party from applying ex parte for appropriate relief, including an order shortening time for a motion to be heard concerning discovery.
- Any party may terminate this stipulation by giving twenty-one (21) days notice of intent to terminate the stipulation.
- 8. References to "days" mean calendar days, unless otherwise noted. If the date for performing any act pursuant to this stipulation falls on a Saturday, Sunday or Court holiday, then the time for performing that act shall be extended to the next Court day.

EHOM TOUE:	es de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la c			the state of the state of	CASENUAGER:	
,	•			-	,	e de la companya de La companya de la co
		***************************************	and the second s			<del></del>
The folic	wing parties stip	ulate:				
Date:						7
s. (* * *		-	➤	*.		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAMI	)	•		ATTORNEY FOR PLAIN	rife)
• ;			<b>D</b>			•
Dale:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME	)	· -	(A)	TORNEY FOR DEFEN	DANT)
. ,		,	<b>&gt;</b>			
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAMI	)		. (A	TTORNEY FOR DEFEN	DANT)
			>	•	,	•
Date:	(TYPE OR PAINT NAME	3		(A	TORNEY FOR DEFEN	DANT)
		4	<b>&gt;</b> .			
Dale:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAM	9	•	(ATTORNEY	FOR	
	•	,	≽		•	
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAM			(ATTORNEY	FOR	
en cattle t			· · · >			
,	(TYPE OR PRINT NAM	1)	•	(ATTORNEY	FOR	

	,	
name and address of attorney or party without attorney.	etate barramer	Masaned for Clark's File Bisma
		•
TELEPHONE NO.: FAX NO. (Op E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	dansi):	
ATTORNEY FOR (NAME): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURT	VTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:	and the second of the second o	
7-1		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:		
INFORMAL DISCOVERY CON (pursuant to the Discovery Resolution Stipuli		CASE NUMBER:
This document relates to:		
Request for Informal Discovery	Conference	
☐ Answer to Request for Information	Discovery Conference	•
<ol> <li>Deadline for Court to decide on Request: the Request).</li> </ol>	(insert dr	ite 10 calendar days following filing of
Deadline for Court to hold informal Discor	Jary Conference	discount white MR ages in the
days following filling of the Request),	1	(insert date 20 calendar
4. For a Request for Informal Discover	y Conference, <u>briefly</u> de	scribe the nature of the
discovery dispute, including the facts	and legal arguments at	Issue. For an Answer to
Request for Informal Discovery Confe	rence, <u>briefly</u> describe wi	hy the Court should deny
the requested discovery, including the	facts and legal arguments	at lesue.

have and address of attorney dit farty without attorney.	#TATE BARNUMBER	Florenza for Capita Fde Siany
• •		•
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TELEPHONE NO.; FAX NO. (0) E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional); ATTORNEY FOR (Name);	ottonal):	•
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COU	NTY OF LOS ANGELES	
COURTHOUSE ADDRESS:		
PLAINTIFF:		
DEFENDANT:	Other desired and the second of the second and the	
STIPULATION AND ORDER - MOT	ONS IN LIMINE	CASE NUMBER:

This elipulation is intended to provide fast and informal resolution of evidentiary issues through diligent efforts to define and discuss such issues and limit paperwork.

### The parties agree that:

- 1. At least \_\_\_\_ days before the final status conference, each party will provide all other parties with a list containing a one paragraph explanation of each proposed motion. Each one paragraph explanation must identify the substance of a single proposed motion in limine and the grounds for the proposed motion.
- 2. The parties thereafter will meet and confer, either in person or via teleconference or videoconference, concerning all proposed motions in limine. In that meet and confer, the parties will determine:
  - a. Whether the parties can slipulate to any of the proposed motions. If the parties so slipulate, they may file a slipulation and proposed order with the Court.
  - b. Whether any of the proposed motions can be briefed and submitted by means of a short joint statement of issues. For each motion which can be addressed by a short joint statement of issues, a short joint statement of issues must be filed with the Court 10 days prior to the final status conference. Each side's portion of the short joint statement of issues may not exceed three pages. The parties will meet and confer to agree on a date and manner for exchanging the parties' respective portions of the short joint statement of issues and the process for filing the short joint statement of issues.
- 3. All proposed motions in limine that are not either the subject of a stipulation or briefed via a short joint statement of issues will be briefed and filed in accordance with the California Rules of Court and the Los Angeles Superior Court Rules.

SHORT TITLE:				CASE NUMBER
The foll	lowing parties stipulate:		L.	
Date:		•		
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	***************************************		(ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	,	£ _	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	· ·	» »	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		,	(ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	<del>podłaniją d</del>	<b>&gt;</b>	(ATTORNEY FOR)
*27	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		۶.	(ATTORNEY FOR)
Date:	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	Pinganyboosnirk	>	(ATTORNEY FOR)
THE CO	OURT SO ORDERS.	ū		
Date:	JOINE OF ORDERO.			
	,		•	JUDIÇIAL OFFICER

# EXHIBIT B

ócznovenia 12:19:27 raz 21323:4990

## ORIGINAL FILED

Sherri R. Carler, Executive Officer/Clerk

By: Kandace Bowen, Deputy

Rafael G. Nendel-Flores, Bar No. 223358 rafael.nendelflores@ogletreedeakins.com

Allison C. Eckstrom, Bar No. 217255

allison.eckstroin@ogletreedeakins.com

Lara C. de Leon, Bar No. 270252

lara.deleon@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.

Park Tower, Suite 1500 695 Town Center Drive

Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Telephone: Facsimile:

3

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

714.800.7900 714.754.1298

Attorneys for Defendant CROSSMARK, INC.

### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

GAYLE SMITH, an individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated,

Plainfiff,

ÝS.

CROSSMARK, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. BC523981

Assigned for all purposes to Honorable William F. Highberger, Dept. 307]

DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Action Filed:

October 9, 2013

Trial Date:

None Set

19

### TO PLAINTIFF GAYLE SMITH AND HER ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendant CROSSMARK, INC. ("Defendant") hereby responds to Plaintiff GAYLE

SMITH's ("Plaintiff") unverified Class Action Complaint (the "Complaint") as follows:

#### GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 431,30(d), Defendant denies generally and specifically each and every allegation contained in the Complaint, and denies further that Plaintiff has been injured in the amount or manner alleged or in any other manner whatsoever.

27

]][

28

DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u> 2 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against 3 4 Defendant. 5 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 6 As to each and every oral, implied, or other contractual relationship alleged in the Complaint, there was a failure of consideration. 8 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 9 As to each and every oral, implied, or other contractual relationship alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff failed to fulfill conditions precedent to the enforcement of any said contract. 10 11 FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 12 As to each and every contract, covenant or warranty alleged in the Complaint, Plaintiff committed a prior breach thereof, excusing any duty of further performance by Defendant. 13 14 FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 15 Plaintiff has failed to properly mitigate her alleged damages. 16 SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statues of limitations, including, but not limited to, Code of Civil Procedure sections 337(1), 338(a), 339(1), 340(a) and 343; Labor Code 18 section 203(b), and Business and Professions Code section 17208. 19 20 SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of equity, including, 21 without limitation, release, waiver, estoppel, laches, and unclean hands. 22 23 EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of res judicata, collateral 24 25 estoppel, and/or judicial estoppel. 26 /// 27 /// 28 DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER

AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent Plaintiff and/or the putative 2 class members consented to any or all of the conduct about which they now complain. 3 4 TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff is not entitled to any penalty award under any section of the California Labor Code 5 because at all relevant times, Defendant did not willfully, knowingly, or intentionally fail to comply with the compensation provisions of the California Labor Code, but rather acted in good faith and had reasonable grounds for believing that it did not violate those provisions. 8 9 **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE** Plaintiff is not entitled to any penalty award under Labor Code section 226 or any other 10 provision of the California Labor Code because Plaintiff did not suffer any injury due to the alleged 11 conduct of Defendant. 12 13 TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff is barred from recovering for alleged violations of Labor Code sections 226.7 14 and/or 512 to the extent that she failed to take her meal periods in accordance with the reasonable 15 expectations of Defendant which were made clear by Defendant in meetings and instructions 16 provided by Defendant on multiple occasions. 17 18 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff is barred from collecting meal-period premiums to the extent that she was 19 "provided" meal periods within the meaning of Labor Code section 512 and/or Plaintiff took off-20 duty meal periods of at least thirty (30) minutes duration at the appropriate times as required by 21 Section 11 of the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order. 22 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 23 Plaintiff's rest period claim fails because Plaintiff was authorized and permitted to take rest 24 periods as required by § 12 of the applicable Wage Order of the Industrial Welfare Commission. 25 /// 26 27 /// 28

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 Plaintiff's meal and rest period claims are barred, in whole or in part, by her waiver of the 2 right to meal and/or rest periods in accordance with applicable law. 3 SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 Plaintiff lacks standing to assert the claims set forth in the Complaint, both on behalf of 5 herself and on behalf of the putative class(es) alleged in the Complaint. 7 SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Defendant performed and discharged in good faith each and every obligation owed, if any, 8 to Plaintiff, acting without malice and with the good faith belief in the propriety of its conduct. 9 10 EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Defendant performed and discharged each and every obligation allegedly owed to Plaintiff, 11 except such obligations as Defendant was excused from performing as a result of Plaintiff's 12 conduct and failure to perform obligations. 13 NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of offset. 15 TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 16 Plaintiff fails to state a claim for attorneys' fees against Defendant. 17 18 TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff may not recover civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys 19 General Act, Labor Code § 2699 et seq., because Plaintiff is not an aggrieved party pursuant to the 20 terms of the Labor Code. 21 TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 22 Plaintiff may not recover civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys 23 General Act, Labor Code § 2699 et seq., because she failed to exhaust her administrative remedies, 24 including, but not limited to, those provided in California Labor Code § 2699.3. 25 26 27 28 DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER

AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Awarding Plaintiff civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, Labor Code § 2699 et seq., would be unjust, arbitrary and oppressive, and/or confiscatory. 3 TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 4 Awarding Plaintiff civil penalties pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General 5 Act, Labor Code § 2699 et seq., would violate Defendant's constitutional rights under provisions of the United States and California Constitutions, including but not limited to the due process clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and because PAGA 8 violates separation of powers principles. TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 Plaintiff's Ninth Cause of Action under Business and Professions Code section 17200 et 11 seq. is not appropriate for resolution on a representative basis and allowing such a representative 12 claim would violate the Due Process Clause of the United States and California Constitutions. 13 TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 Plaintiff is not entitled to an award of damages or statutory penalties under Business and 15 Professions Code § 17200 et seq. 16 TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 17 Plaintiff is not entitled to injunctive relief because she has an adequate remedy at law. 18 TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 19 Plaintiff's Labor Code section 2802 claim is barred in whole or in part because Plaintiff 20 failed to submit to Defendant any proof or documents showing that any alleged expenditures or 21 losses were incurred by the Plaintiff in the discharge of her employment duties. 22 TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 23 Plaintiff's claim under Labor Code section 2802 is barred in whole or in part because any of 24 Plaintiff's alleged expenditures or losses were not necessary and/or were not the direct 25 consequence of the discharge of the Plaintiff's employment duties. 26 /// 27 28

THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's causes of action cannot and should not be maintained as a class action pursuant 2 to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, and any 3 other applicable statutes or case law. 5 THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE The putative class and/or classes alleged in the Complaint are overbroad, ambiguous, 6 conclusory, lack the required community of interest, and are not precise, objective or readily ascertainable. 8 9 THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or part, to the extent that Plaintiff has failed to allege 10 facts sufficient to meet the necessary requirements for class certification, including typicality, 11 commonality, numerosity, superiority, and/or adequacy. 12 THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 Plaintiff and her counsel are not adequate representatives of those they purport to represent. 14 THIRTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 15 Plaintiff's claims and the claims of the putative class members are or may be barred by the 16 doctrines of accord and satisfaction, payment, release, and/or waiver because, in part, Plaintiff and 17 the putative class members have expressly agreed to release, hold harmless and indemnify 18 Defendant from any and all liability and responsibility regarding the claims asserted in this action. 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 2.5 26 /// 27 /// 28

1	WHEREFOR	FORE, Defendant prays for judgment as follows:				
2	1.	That Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint;				
3	2.	That class certification be denied;				
4	3.	That the Court enter judgment for Defendant and against Plaintiff on all her				
5	alleged claims	;				
6	4.	That the Court award Defendant its costs of suit; and				
7	5.	That the Court grant Defendant such other and further relief that the Court				
8	deems just and	d proper.				
9						
10	DATED: November	20, 2013	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.			
11			SIEWARI, F.C.			
12						
13			By: Rafael G. Nendel-Flores			
14			Allison C. Eckstrom  Lara C. de Leon			
15			Attorneys for Defendant CROSSMARK, INC.			
16			Audineys for Detendant effectivities, hve.			
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24		1				
25						
26						
27 28						
<b>∠</b> ō		DEFENDANT CRO	7 DSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER NSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT			

1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	Gayle Smith v. CROSSMARK, Inc., et al.  Case No. BC523981				
3	I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of 18 years and not a party to the				
4	action in which this service is made. At all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Orange in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service				
5	was made. My business address is Park Tower, Suite 1500, 695 Town Center Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.				
6	On November 20, 2013, I served the following document(s):				
7 8	DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				
9	by placing [ (the original) [ (a true copy thereof) in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:				
0	Shawn C. Westrick  Attorneys for Plaintiff				
1.1	Timothy P. Hennessy GAYLE SMITH KAWAHITO SHRAGA & WESTRICK LLP				
	1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 280 Email: swestrick@kswlawyers.com Los Angeles, CA 90025 thennessy@kswlawyers.com				
12	Telephone: (310) 746-5300				
13	Facsimile: (310) 593-2520				
14 15	business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Ogletree, Deakins, N				
16	Smoak & Stewart, P.C.'s practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in				
17	the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.				
18	BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I placed the sealed envelope(s) or package(s) designated				
19	by the express service carrier for collection and overnight delivery by following the ordinary business practices of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart P.C., San				
20	Francisco, California. I am readily familiar with Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart P.C.'s practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for overnight				
21	delivery, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence for				
22	overnight delivery is deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for at the carrier's express service offices for next-day delivery.				
23	(STATE): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that				
24	the above is true and correct.				
25	Executed on November 20, 2013, at Costa Mesa, CA.				
26	White the second of the second				
27	Diane Vo Type or Print Name Signature				
28	Typo of Fine Frame				
۷٥	1				
	DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT				

# EXHIBIT C

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 6:	9-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 2 of 33 Page ID
#.12	
1 KENNETH S. GAINES, SBN 049045	
2 ken@gaineslawfirm.com DANIEL F. GAINES, SBN 251488	
daniel@@gaineslawfirm.com ALEX P. KATOFSKY, SBN 202754	
4 CAINES & CAINES API C	
21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367	
21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Telephone: (818) 703-8985 Facsimile: (818) 703-8984	v
7 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
ERICKA MITCHELL	
9 STEPHEN E. FOX (Admitted pro hac v sfox@fr.com	rice)
JOHN MICHAEL GADDIS (Admitted	pro hac vice)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
11   1717 Main Street, Suite 5000   Dallas, TX 75201   Telephone: (214) 747-5070	
Facsimile: (214) 747-2091	
	MENT GROUP, LP, and CROSSMARK
HOME IMPROVEMENT SERVICES,	LLC
r	ES DISTRICT COURT
	LIFORNIA - WESTERN DIVISION
18	
19 ERICKA MITCHELL, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No. CV 11-2818-JAK (FMOx)
and on behalf of the general public,	JOINT STIPULATION OF
Plaintiffs,	SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE
22 vs.	•
CROSSMARK, INC., CHI	
CROSSMARK HOME	;
24 IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, LLC, and DOES 2 through 50, inclusive,	
Defendants.	
27	
28	<u> </u>
JOINT STIPULATION C	OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 3 of 33 Page ID #:1254

This Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release is made and entered into between Plaintiff ERICKA MITCHELL, as an individual and as a representative of the Class ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants CROSSMARK, INC., CHI MANAGEMENT GROUP, LP, and CROSSMARK HOME IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, LLC (together, "CROSSMARK" or "Defendants"), who are parties to the above-captioned litigation (together, the "Parties").

It is hereby stipulated and agreed, by and between the undersigned Parties, subject to approval of the Court, that the settlement of this action shall be effectuated upon and subject to the following terms and conditions:

### I. DEFINITIONS

19.

The following capitalized terms, when used in this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release, shall have the following meanings:

- 1. "Action" or "Instant Action" means the above stated action, styled as Ericka Mitchell v. CROSSMARK, Inc., et al., U.S. District Court, Central District of California Case No. CV 11-2818-JAK (FMOx).
- 2. "Claims Administrator" means a reputable third-party Claims Administrator, to be selected by the Parties, to administer this Settlement.
- 3. "Class" and "Settlement Class" mean all persons who are, have been, or will be employed by Defendants in the State of California as an hourly, non-exempt employee from October 8, 2006 through the Date of Preliminary Approval of this Settlement.
- 4. "Class Counsel" means the law firms of Gaines & Gaines, APLC, Steven L. Miller, APLC, and Law Offices of Scott A. Miller, APC.
- 5. "Class Member" means each person eligible to participate in this Settlement who is a member of the Class defined above.
- 6. "Class Member Released Claims" shall mean a release of Settling Defendants of any and all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Instant Action based upon the facts alleged therein, and any and all claims, whether brought

## Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 4 of 33 Page ID #:1255

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

directly, representatively, or in any capacity, under any theory whatsoever, whether in tort, contract, statute, rule, ordinance, order, regulation, or otherwise, including state, federal, and local laws, whether for economic damages, non-economic damages, restitution, penalties, punitive damages, wages, premium payments, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, or any other type of recovery thereon, arising out of any act, omission, transaction, or event that occurred or is alleged to have occurred up to the date of this Agreement, to the extent that such claims arise out of the alleged facts. circumstances, and occurrences underlying the allegations as set forth in the Plaintiff's Complaint or in any Amended Complaint filed in this Action. Claims specifically included in this release, without limitation, include any and all claims arising under the IWC Wage Orders; California Labor Code, including, without limitation, §§ 510, 1194, 226, 201-203, 204, and 2802; California Business & Professions Code §§17200 et seq.; and any and all claims under the Private Attorney General Act (California Labor Code Sections 2698 et seg.) relating to the claims being released herein. Class Members shall be deemed to have expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits they may otherwise have had relating to the Class Member Released Claims pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known to him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

All Class Members shall be bound by this release unless they formally opt-out of the Settlement.

- 7. "Class Period" shall mean the time period from October 8, 2006 through the Date of Preliminary Approval of this Settlement.
- 8. "Class Representative Released Claims" means a general release of Settling Defendants, as defined herein, of any and all claims, obligations, demands,

## Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 5 of 33 Page ID #:1256

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

actions, rights, causes of action, and liabilities against Settling Defendants, of whatever kind and nature, character, and description, whether in law or equity, whether sounding in tort, contract, federal, state and/or local law, statute, ordinance, regulation, common law, or other source of law, whether known or unknown, and whether anticipated or unanticipated, including unknown claims covered by Civil Code Section 1542, by Class Representative, arising during the period from the beginning of the Class Representative's first date of employment with Settling Defendants to the date on which the Court enters the Order of Preliminary Approval, for any type of relief, including, without limitation, claims for failure to pay overtime, failure to pay for all hours worked, failure to timely pay final wages, failure to provide meal and rest periods, failure to furnish accurate wage statements, wrongful termination, retaliation, damages, unpaid costs, penalties (including waiting time penalties), liquidated damages, punitive damages, interest, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, restitution, or equitable relief. The Class Representative Released Claims include, but are not limited to the Class Member Released Claims as well as any other claims under any provision of the Fair Labor Standards Act, the California Labor Code or any applicable California Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and claims under state or federal discrimination statutes, including, without limitation, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, California Government Code § 12940 et seg.; the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code § 51 et seg.; the California Constitution: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq.; the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.; and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq.; and all of their implementing regulations and interpretive guidelines, as set forth in the Complaint and Amended Complaints in this Action. The Class Representative shall be deemed to have expressly waived and relinquished, to the fullest extent permitted by law, the provisions, rights, and benefits she may otherwise have had relating to the released claims pursuant to Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

3 4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known to him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

- "Class Representative," "Named Plaintiff," and "Plaintiff" shall mean 9. Plaintiff Ericka Mitchell.
- "Compensable Pay Periods" means and refers to the number of pay 10. periods during the Class Period during which a Class Member was employed by Defendants in California as a non-exempt, hourly employee. Compensable Pay Periods will be calculated by the Claims Administrator based on inception and termination dates for weeks worked as a non-exempt, hourly employee during the Class Period.
- 11. "Complaint" shall mean the operative Complaint in the above-entitled Action, originally filed on or about October 8, 2010.
- 12. "Court" shall mean the U.S. District Court, Central District of California.
- 13. "Date of Preliminary Approval" means the date the Court approves this Stipulation of Settlement, and the exhibits thereto, and enters an Order providing for notice to the Class, an opportunity to opt-out of the Class, an opportunity to submit timely objections to the settlement, and setting a hearing for Final Approval of the Settlement, including approval of attorneys' fees and costs.
- 14. "Deficient Opt-Out Form" means a Request for Exclusion that is not signed by the Class Member submitting the Request for Exclusion or cannot be verified by the Claims Administrator as being an authentic submission by the Class Member.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 7 of 33 Page ID #:1258

- 1 2
- 15. "Deficient Opt-Out" means a Class Member that has submitted a Deficient Opt-Out Form and has failed to cure its deficiencies.
- 3
- 16. "Defendants' Counsel" means Fish & Richardson, PC.
- 4 5

17.

approval of the Settlement, unless one or more objections to the Settlement are filed

"Effective Date" means the date of entry of an Order granting final

- 6 7
- which are not subsequently withdrawn, in which case it means the date one day after the expiration date of the time for the filing or noticing of any appeal from the Order
- 8
- and Final Judgment, or if an appeal is filed, the date of final dismissal of any appeal
- 9
- from the Order and Final Judgment.

  18. "Exclusion Form" or "Request for Exclusion Form" means the document
- 1011
- attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 12
- 19. "Exclusion Period" means a period of thirty (30) calendar days from the
- 13
- date the Claims Administrator mails the Settlement Documents to Class Members. If
- 14
- the 30th day falls on a Sunday or holiday, the Exclusion Period shall end on the next
- 1516
- 20. "Late Opt-Out Form" means a Request for Exclusion that is submitted
- 17
- to the Claims Administrator after the end of the Exclusion Period.

business day that is not a Sunday or holiday.

- 18
- 21. "Late Opt-Out" means a Class Member that has submitted a Late Opt-Out Form.
- 1920
- 22. "LWDA" means the California Labor and Workforce Development
- 21

23.

- Agency.
- 22
- Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Nine U.S. Dollars and Ninety-Nine Cents

"Maximum Settlement Amount" is the sum of Nine Hundred Ninety-

- 2324
- (\$999,999.99), which represents the total amount payable in this settlement by
- 25
- Settling Defendants, which includes all attorneys' fees, litigation costs, claims
- 26
- administration fees, payments to the LWDA, interest, and the Service Enhancement
- 27
- to the Class Representative. The Defendants shall have no reversionary interest in any portion of the Maximum Settlement Amount, and the entire amount shall be paid
- 28

2

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

2526

\_\_ 27

28

as part of this Settlement. The Maximum Settlement Amount does not include employer payroll taxes related to the amount paid under the Settlement.

- 24. "Net Settlement Amount" is the portion of the Maximum Settlement Amount eligible for distribution to Qualified Claimants and is approximately \$605,000. It equals the Maximum Settlement amount less Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and actual litigation costs, claims administration expenses, payments to the LWDA for PAGA penalties, and the Service Enhancement to the Class Representative.
- 25. "Notice of Class Action Settlement" shall mean the document attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 26. "PAGA" shall mean the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act, California Labor Code §§ 2698 et seq.
  - 27. "Parties" shall mean Defendants and Plaintiff.
- 28. "Qualified Claimant" means a Class Member who has not opted-out of the Settlement, *i.e.*, one who has not timely submitted a valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement to the Claims Administrator.
- 29. "Settling Plaintiffs" shall mean all Class Members who do not submit a valid Request for Exclusion from the Settlement to the Claims Administrator.
- 30. "Settling Defendants" or "CROSSMARK" shall mean CROSSMARK, Inc., CHI Management Group, LP, CROSSMARK Home Improvement Services, LLC, and all related companies, subsidiaries, entities, future and former direct and indirect parents, affiliates, successors and predecessors, and current and former employees, attorneys, officers and directors of said entities.
- 31. "Settling Parties" shall mean the Settling Defendants and Settling Plaintiffs.
- 32. "Settlement Documents" shall mean the Notice of Class Action Settlement (Exhibit 1) and the Request for Exclusion Form (Exhibit 2) attached hereto.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 9 of 33 Page ID #:1260

33. "Stipulation of Settlement" and "Settlement Agreement" shall mean this Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release.

### II. DESCRIPTION OF THE LITIGATION

- 34. On October 8, 2010, Plaintiff filed a lawsuit against CROSSMARK, Inc., CHI Management Group, LP, and Does 1-50, in the Superior Court for the State of California, in and for the County of Ventura, Case Number 56-2010-00383153-CU-OE-SIM. CROSSMARK removed the Action to the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, where it is presently assigned case number No. CV 11-2818-JAK (FMOx). CROSSMARK Home Improvement Services, LLC was subsequently added as a party to the Action.
- 34. Plaintiff seeks to represent a putative class of current and former CROSSMARK employees in California. The operative complaint alleges causes of action under the California Labor Code and Business & Professions Code: violation of Labor Code §§ 201–203 for failure to pay all wages due at separation of employment, Labor Code § 204 for failure to pay all wages timely throughout the course of employment, Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194 for failure to pay all wages due for time spent driving between work locations, Labor Code § 226 and IWC Wage Order 4-2001(7) for failure to issue accurately itemized employee wage statements, Labor Code § 2802 for failure to fully reimburse employee business expenses incurred in the discharge of their employment duties, including while driving for work purposes, and violation of Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq. Plaintiff seeks damages, restitution, civil penalties under PAGA, and injunctive relief.
- 35. This Settlement embraces all claims against Settling Defendants as enumerated in paragraphs 6 and 8 above, including, but not limited to, all claims in the Complaint for violations of California's Labor Code and Wage Orders, California's Unfair Competition Law, all related and derivative claims, statutory penalties including civil penalties under PAGA, attorneys' fees, costs, and interest.

### 1

#### III. POSITION OF THE PARTIES

Act, Labor Code §§ 2698 et seg.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

36. Plaintiff alleges in her Complaint that, during the applicable limitations
period, CROSSMARK failed to pay its non-exempt, hourly employees all wages due
for time spent traveling between store locations (as distinguished from time spent
traveling from an employee's home to his/her first work location of the day, and from
the employee's last work location of the day to his/her home), resulting in a claim
under Labor Code §§ 510 and 1194. Plaintiff further alleges, that as a result,
CROSSMARK failed to timely pay all wages to its non-exempt, hourly employees,
and failed to timely pay those employees all wages due at termination, resulting in
derivative claims under Labor Code §§ 204 and 201-203. Plaintiff also alleges in her
Complaint that CROSSMARK issued defective wage statements to its California
non-exempt employees, in violation of Labor Code § 226(a) and (e) and IWC Wage
Order 4-2001(7). Plaintiff further alleges that CROSSMARK failed to fully
reimburse employees for expenses they incurred in the performance of their job

duties, including automobile-related expenses, in violation of Labor Code § 2802.

violations under Business & Profession Code §§ 17200 et seg., and also seeks to

recover civil penalties for these alleged violations under the Private Attorney General

Plaintiff further alleges unfair competition claims derivative of these alleged

- Settling Defendants deny these claims in their entirety. Consequently, 37. Settling Defendants do not believe that any liability to Plaintiff or Class Members exists, or that Plaintiff or Class Members are entitled to any recovery. In addition, Settling Defendants contend that Plaintiff's claims are not suitable for class or representative treatment.
- On September 9, 2011, the Parties participated in a mediation with 38. mediator Brenda Cubbage in Dallas, Texas. Following mediation, the Parties were unable to reach a settlement. With the mediator's continued assistance, the Parties continued settlement discussions and on or about November 9, 2011, key terms of a

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 11 of 33 Page ID #:1262

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

settlement agreement were reached. All of the terms of the Parties' settlement are contained within this Stipulation of Settlement. At all times, the Parties' settlement negotiations have been non-collusive, adversarial, and at arm's length.

39. Discussions between counsel for the Parties, formal and informal discovery, as well as the investigation and evaluation of the claims of Plaintiff by the Parties, have permitted each side to assess the relative merits of the claims and the defenses to those claims. The Parties agree that the above-described investigation and evaluation, as well as the information exchanged during settlement negotiations and mediation, are more than sufficient to assess the merits of the respective Parties' positions and to compromise the issues on a fair and equitable basis. Based on their own independent investigations and evaluations, Class Counsel is of the opinion that the Settlement with Settling Defendants for the consideration and terms set forth below, considering the representative and class claims, and the risk of loss, is fair, reasonable, and adequate in light of all known facts and circumstances, and is in the best interests of the Class. Class Counsel is also of the opinion that the total consideration and payment set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement is adequate in light of the uncertainties surrounding the risk of further litigation, and the possible defenses and offset claims that Settling Defendants have asserted and could assert.

## IV. BENEFITS OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE PROPOSED CLASS AND DEFENDANT

40. Class Counsel recognizes the substantial monetary benefit to the Class and the expenses and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Action against the Settling Defendants through class certification, trial and possible appeals. Class Counsel has also taken into account the uncertain outcome and risk of any litigation, especially in complex actions such as class actions, as well as the difficulties and delay inherent in such litigation. Therefore, Class Counsel has determined that the settlement set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement is in the best interest of the Class.

## Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 12 of 33 Page ID #:1263

41. Settling Defendants and their counsel have similarly concluded that it is desirable that the Action be settled in a manner and upon such terms and conditions set forth herein in order to avoid further expense, inconvenience and distraction of further legal proceedings, and the risk of the outcome of the Action. Therefore, Settling Defendants have determined that it is desirable and beneficial to put to rest the claims in the Action.

### V. OPERATIVE TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Parties to this Action agree as follows:

- Nothing in this Stipulation of Settlement shall be Non-Admission. construed to be or deemed an admission by Settling Defendants of any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward the Class Representative, the Class Members, or any other person, and Settling Defendants specifically disclaim any liability, culpability, negligence, or wrongdoing toward the Class Representative, the Class Members, or any other person, and specifically deny that class certification or representative action is appropriate. Each of the Parties has entered into this Stipulation of Settlement with the intention to avoid further disputes and litigation with the attendant inconvenience, expenses, and contingencies. This Stipulation of Settlement, and any related court documents or orders, may not be cited or otherwise admitted as evidence of liability or that class certification or representative action is appropriate. There has been no final determination by any Court as to the merits of the claims asserted by Plaintiff against Settling Defendants, or as to whether a class should be certified, or whether a representative action should proceed, other than for settlement purposes only.
- 43. <u>Conditional Certification of the Settlement Class</u>. The Parties stipulate to the conditional certification of the Settlement Class as defined herein for settlement purposes only. This provision is void and all terms of this Settlement are void if this Settlement is not approved by the Court. The parties further stipulate that, for settlement purposes only, the law firms of Gaines & Gaines APLC, Steven L. Miller,

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 13 of 33 Page ID #:1264

APC, and Law Offices of Scott A. Miller, APLC may be appointed as Class Counsel, and that Plaintiff Ericka Mitchell may be appointed the Class Representative. CROSSMARK's stipulation to this settlement class shall not be construed as an admission or acknowledgement of wrongdoing of any kind, or that any class should be certified or given collective action treatment, or that any representative action should proceed.

- 44. Allocations to be Paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount. Settling Defendants shall pay Nine Hundred Ninety-Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Nine U.S. Dollars and Ninety-Nine Cents (\$999,999.99) as the Maximum Settlement Amount to resolve the Action on a class-wide basis. Under no circumstances will Settling Defendants be obligated to pay any more than the Maximum Settlement Amount of Nine Hundred Ninety-Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Ninety-Nine U.S. Dollars and Ninety-Nine Cents (\$999,999.99). The Parties agree to the following allocations to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount, subject to Court approval:
  - a. From the Maximum Settlement Amount, \$25,000.00 shall be payable to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), representing the LWDA's share of PAGA penalties.
  - b. From the Maximum Settlement Amount, Class Counsel may seek from the Court a maximum of \$300,000.00 in attorneys' fees, and a maximum of \$15,000.00 in actual litigation costs, for serving as Class Counsel, both of which CROSSMARK will not oppose.
  - c. From the Maximum Settlement Amount, the Class Representative may seek from the Court an incentive payment not to exceed \$10,000.00 for serving as Class Representative ("Service Enhancement"), which CROSSMARK will not oppose. This incentive payment will also compensate Class Representative for

- 1 2

- the release of her individual claims against Defendants, as enumerated in Paragraph 8 above.
- d. From the Maximum Settlement Amount, settlement administration fees in a reasonable amount, which are estimated to be approximately \$45,000. Settlement administration fees shall include the costs of providing the notices required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b). CROSSMARK shall be responsible for paying the settlement administration fees to the settlement administrator even if this Settlement is not given final approval by the Court.
- e. Any portion of the Maximum Settlement Amount remaining after payment of the costs of administration, attorneys' fees, litigation costs, class representative enhancement, and that portion of PAGA penalties payable to the California LWDA, shall be paid to Qualified Claimants.
- f. If the Court approves a lesser amount of attorneys' fees, litigation costs, administration costs, or Service Enhancement than those sought by the Class Representative and Class Counsel, any amount disallowed by the Court shall become part of the Net Settlement Amount for distribution to Class Members. The Parties agree that the settlement shall remain binding with such modification(s) and its terms will be otherwise unchanged.
- 45. <u>Tax Treatment</u>. Settlement payments to Qualified Claimants shall be treated as follows: 25% wages, 25% expense reimbursement, 25% penalties, and 25% interest. The wage portion of the settlement payments to Qualified Claimants shall be subject to withholding. Class Representative and Qualified Claimants shall be exclusively liable for any and all tax liability, if any. Class Representative and Qualified Claimants should consult with their tax advisors concerning the tax consequences of the payments they receive under the Settlement.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 15 of 33 Page ID #:1266

- 46. <u>Class Member Released Claims</u>. Upon Final Approval, each Settling Plaintiff and each member of the Class who has not opted out of the Settlement shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released Settling Defendants from all Class Member Released Claims for the period of time during which they were employed by one or more Defendants as a non-exempt, hourly employee in the State of California between October 8, 2006 through the date of Preliminary Approval.
- 47. <u>Class Representative Released Claims</u>. Upon Final Approval, the Class Representative shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released Settling Defendants from all Class Representative Released Claims through the date of Preliminary Approval.
- 48. <u>Calculation of Settlement Amounts</u>. The Claims Administrator will calculate pro rata settlement payments to Class Members based on each Class Member's relative percentage of eligible service time in Compensable Pay Periods during the Class Period as reflected in CROSSMARK's internal records as set forth in this paragraph. After deducting for attorney's fees, litigation costs, the Service Enhancement, the payment to the LWDA, and claims administration fees, the remainder of the Maximum Settlement Amount will be allocated to Class Members as the "Net Settlement Amount." The Parties agree that the following formula for allocating the settlement payments to Class Members provided herein is reasonable and that the settlement payments provided herein are designed to provide a fair settlement to the Class:
  - a. The number of Compensable Pay Periods that each Class Member was employed during the Class Period shall be determined;
  - b. The number of Compensable Pay Periods that all Class Members were employed during the Class Period shall be aggregated;
  - c. The percentage obtained by dividing the number of Compensable Pay Periods for an individual Class Member by the aggregate of all Compensable Pay Periods for all Class Members during the

Class Period shall be used to calculate that individual Class Member's share of the Net Settlement Amount.

 49. Qualified Claimants. Unless a Class Member submits a timely opt-out request as described below, he or she shall be deemed a Qualified Claimant and shall be eligible to receive a Settlement Payment. There is no claims process and all Qualified Claimants shall be mailed their Settlement Payment following the Court's final approval of the Settlement. All Qualified Claimants will be bound by the Settlement and its releases, even if they fail to negotiate their Settlement Payment check prior to its expiration date.

- 50. Timing and Distribution of Settlement Funds and Payments. When and if the Court grants Final Approval of the Settlement, and the Effective Date as defined above has passed, the Settlement Administrator shall prepare a final list of all Qualified Claimants who have not timely opted-out of the settlement. For each Qualified Claimant on this list, the Settlement Administrator will calculate the amounts due and issue checks payable to said Qualified Claimants. CROSSMARK shall provide all payments required by this Settlement to the Settlement Administrator within seven (7) calendar days following the Effective Date. The Settlement Administrator shall issue payments to Plaintiff, Class Counsel, and the LWDA no later than ten (10) calendar days following the Effective Date and mail settlement checks to Qualified Claimants no later than twenty-one (21) calendar days following the Effective Date.
- 51. Court Approval of the Settlement. Subject to CROSSMARK's approval, Class Counsel shall be responsible for preparing and filing, in coordination with Defendants' Counsel, the Unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of the Settlement and the Motion for Final Approval of the Settlement. Prior to the Final Approval hearing by the Court, the Parties will submit a proposed Final Order(s): (i) certifying the Class for settlement purposes only; (ii) finding the settlement fair, reasonable, and in the best interests of the Class Members; (iii) approving Class

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 17 of 33 Page ID #:1268

Counsel's application for an award of attorneys' fees and litigation costs; (iv) approving the Class Representative's application for a Service Enhancement; (v) approving payment of claims administration fees; and (vi) entering Judgment.

52. Termination of Settlement Agreement. If the conditions of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation of Settlement are not satisfied, or if the Court does not dismiss the Action with prejudice against Settling Defendants as provided for in this Stipulation, or if appellate review is sought and on such review the Court's decision is materially modified or reversed, or, if one or more of the terms of the Stipulation of Settlement is not approved or the Stipulation with respect to one or more such terms is materially modified or reversed, then this Stipulation shall be canceled, terminated, and shall have no force or effect. If Final Approval does not occur, or if this Stipulation of Settlement is terminated, revoked, or canceled pursuant to its terms, the Parties to this Stipulation of Settlement shall be deemed to have reverted to their respective status as of the date and time immediately prior to the execution of this Stipulation of Settlement.

#### VI. CLAIMS ADMINISTRATION

- 53. Selection and Compensation of Claims Administrator. The Parties agree to jointly select and utilize a third-party Claims Administrator to give notice of and communicate with Class Members regarding the settlement. Reasonable consent to the selection of the Claims Administrator will not be withheld by either Party. If the actual cost of claims administration is less than the amount approved by the Court, those funds shall become part of the Net Settlement Amount for allocation to Class Members. All costs associated with claims administration shall come out of the Maximum Settlement Amount.
- 54. <u>Claims Administration</u>. CROSSMARK will provide the names, contact information, social security numbers, and dates of employment for members of the Class ("Class Data") to the Claims Administrator only. CROSSMARK will provide the Class Data to the Claims Administrator no later than thirty (30) calendar days

after the Court grants Preliminary Approval of the Settlement. Class Data shall only be used by the Claims Administrator for the purpose of calculating settlement shares and notifying the Class Members of the settlement. Addresses for Class Members shall not be disclosed to Class Counsel, the Class Representative, or any other Class Members without the written consent of CROSSMARK or by order of the Court. The Claims Administrator shall run the Class Data list through the National Change of Address database, and will use the most recent address for each Class Member — either from CROSSMARK's records or the National Change of Address database — when mailing the Class Notice. The Claims Administrator shall also take reasonable steps to locate any Class Member whose Class Notice is returned as undeliverable. Class Data shall be provided in a format to be determined by the Claims Administrator and CROSSMARK.

- Data list, the Claims Administrator shall mail Settlement Documents, consisting of the Court-approved Notice of the Class Action Settlement, in the form substantially similar to that attached hereto as Exhibit 1, and a court-approved Request for Exclusion Form, in form substantially similar to that attached hereto as Exhibit 2, to the Class Members described herein. The Class Notice shall state the estimated minimum settlement payment that the Class Member could receive if he or she does not request exclusion from the Settlement.
- 56. Challenges to Class Member's Employment Data. In calculating each individual Class Member's share of the settlement, CROSSMARK's records regarding the employment tenure of Class Members shall be presumed to be correct. Class Members who challenge CROSSMARK's records must submit a challenge in writing to the Claims Administrator and will bear the burden of proof, i.e., a Class Member who fails to provide written proof will have his or her challenge denied. All such challenges must be received within the 30-day Exclusion Period during which Class Members may opt-out from the Settlement. CROSSMARK will investigate the

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 19 of 33 Page ID #:1270

7 8

12.13.

<u>2</u>1

challenge and determine whether any additional amount is owed to the Class Member making the challenge. In no case will a challenge to employment data result in a payment by CROSSMARK in excess of the Maximum Settlement Amount.

- 57. Opt-Outs/Requests for Exclusion from the Settlement. Class Members who wish to "opt-out" of and be excluded from the Settlement must submit a written Request for Exclusion from the Settlement bearing a post-mark from a date within the Exclusion Period. If a Class Member submits a deficient opt-out, the Settlement Administrator shall notify the Class Member of the deficiency within five (5) business days of receipt. The Class Member shall have until the end of the Exclusion Period to cure said deficiencies, at which point his or her Request for Exclusion will be rejected if not received. Class Members submitting untimely or deficient Requests for Exclusions shall be bound by the Settlement and will be considered Qualified Claimants for settlement distribution purposes.
- Approval of Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Settlement Administration Expenses, and Class Representative's Service Enhancement. Plaintiff and Class Counsel shall file and serve their motion for final approval of the Settlement and their motion for approval of attorney's fees, litigation costs, settlement administrator expenses, and Service Enhancement, along with all supporting evidence, no later than seven (7) calendar days following the conclusion of the Exclusion Period in order to allow Class Members a reasonable opportunity to object to said motions.
- 59. Objections. All objections to the Settlement by any Qualified Claimant must be filed with the District Court and served upon all counsel of record by no later than fourteen (14) calendar days after the end of the Exclusion Period. This deadline applies notwithstanding any argument regarding non-receipt of the notice. Anyone who fails to file and serve timely written objections in this manner shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objection to the

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 20 of 33 Page ID #:1271

Settlement and from filing any appeal from any final approval order issued by the Court.

60. Response to Objections. Plaintiff and/or CROSSMARK may file a response to objections to the Settlement no later than twenty-eight (28) calendar days following the end of the Exclusion Period.

#### VII. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

12.

18.

- 61. <u>Drafting.</u> The Parties hereto agree that the terms and conditions of this Settlement Agreement are the result of lengthy, intensive, arm's-length negotiations between the Parties and that neither Party shall be considered the "drafter" of this Stipulation of Settlement for purposes of having terms construed against that Party.
- 62. <u>Class Information Confidential</u>. Names of Class Members and their allocation amounts shall be kept strictly confidential by the Claims Administrator, who will not release such information to Class Counsel and will file such information, if necessary, only under seal. Class Counsel agrees that any information they receive or have received in connection with this Settlement may be used for this Action only, and may not be used for any purpose or in any other action or proceeding.
- 63. Non-Disclosure. Class Representative and Class Counsel agree not to disclose the terms of this Settlement except in court papers, in response to Class Member inquiries, or if required by legal process. Neither Class Representative nor Class Counsel, directly or indirectly, shall issue a press release, hold a press conference, publish information about the settlement on any website (other than used by the claims administrator for claims administration purposes), or otherwise publicize the settlement. Class Representative and Class Counsel agree not to respond to any press inquiries concerning the settlement except to refer reporters to the papers filed with the District Court.
- 64. <u>Uncashed Settlement Checks</u>. Any settlement checks that remain uncashed after 180 days of mailing will be considered cy pres funds and be donated

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 21 of 33 Page ID #:1272

to charitable organizations to be jointly selected by the Parties and approved by the Court in connection with final approval of the Settlement.

- 65. Cooperation. The Parties agree to cooperate fully with one another to accomplish and implement the terms of this Settlement. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, execution of such other documents and the taking of such other action as may reasonably be necessary to fulfill the terms of this Stipulation of Settlement. The Parties to this Settlement Agreement shall use their best efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement Agreement and any other efforts that may become necessary by Court order, or otherwise, to effectuate this Settlement Agreement and the terms set forth herein.
- 66. Extensions of Time. If a party cannot reasonably comply with an obligation under this Settlement Agreement by the deadline set forth herein applicable to that obligation, that party may apply to the Court for a reasonable extension of time to fulfill that obligation. Consent to such a request for an extension will not be unreasonably withheld by the other party.
- 67. No Impact on Benefit Plans. Neither the Settlement nor any amounts paid under the Settlement will modify any previously credited hours or service under any employee benefit plan, policy, or bonus program sponsored by Settling Defendants. Such amounts will not form the basis for additional contributions to, benefits under, or any other monetary entitlement under Settling Defendants' sponsored benefit plans, policies, or bonus programs. The payments made under the terms of this Stipulation shall not be applied retroactively, currently, or on a going forward basis, as salary, earnings, wages, or any other form of compensation for the purposes of any Settling Defendants' benefit plan, policy, or bonus program. Settling Defendants retain the right to modify the language of their benefit plans, policies and bonus programs to effect this intent, and to make clear that any amounts paid pursuant to this Settlement are not for "hours worked," "hours paid," "hours of service," or any similar measuring term as defined by applicable plans, policies and

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 22 of 33 Page ID #:1273

1

3

bonus programs for purposes of eligibility, vesting, benefit accrual, or any other purpose, and that additional contributions or benefits are not required by this Stipulation of Settlement.

4 5

5 6

7

9

1011

12 13

14

15

1617

18

19

20

21.22.

23

24

252627

27 28 68. Class Action Fairness Act. Within five (5) days after all parties have executed this Agreement, Defendants shall serve upon the appropriate state official of each state in which a Class Member resides and the appropriate federal official, a notice of the proposed settlement consisting of the documents and information required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b). The costs of providing the notice required by 28 U.S.C. § 1715(b) shall be included in the settlement administration costs to be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount as per Paragraph 44(d) above.

69. <u>Notices</u>. Unless otherwise specifically provided herein, all notices, demands, or other communications given hereunder shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given as of the third business day after mailing by United States certified mail, return receipt requested, addressed as follows:

To the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class:

Kenneth S. Gaines, Esq. Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. Gaines & Gaines, APLC 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

To the Defendants:

Stephen E. Fox, Esq. John Michael Gaddis, Esq. Fish & Richardson, P.C. 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, TX 75201

70. <u>Modification</u>. This Stipulation may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing signed by counsel for the Parties hereto and approved by the Court. This Stipulation may not be discharged except by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing used by the Parties hereto. This Stipulation

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 23 of 33 Page ID #:1274

1 2

- shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective heirs, trustees, executors, administrators, successors, and assigns.
- 71. Governing Law. The rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be construed and enforced in accordance with, and shall be governed by, the laws of the State of California, without regard to principles of conflict of laws.
- 72. Severability. If any provision of this Stipulation of Settlement or the application thereof is held invalid, such invalidation shall not affect other provisions or applications of this Stipulation of Settlement and to this end the provisions of this Stipulation of Settlement are declared to be severable.
- 73. Counterparts. Because the proposed Class has not yet been certified, and the members of the proposed Class are so numerous, the Parties agree that it is impossible or impractical to have each Class Member sign this Stipulation. It is agreed that, for purposes of seeking Court approval of the Settlement, this Stipulation of Settlement may be executed on behalf of the proposed Class by Class Counsel. This Settlement Agreement shall become effective upon its execution by all of the undersigned. The Settling Parties may execute this Settlement Agreement in any number of counterparts, and a facsimile signature shall have the same force and effect as an original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Stipulation of Settlement is executed by the Parties and their duly authorized attorneys, as of the day and year herein set forth.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 24 of 33 Page ID #:1275

DEFENI	à angs.	
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CROSSMARK, INC.
Dawu.	January 11, 2012	CROSSWARK, INC.
And the second s		By John Thom
		By: John /hogn Its: JCEO
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CROSSMARK HOME
1		IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, LLC.
		11 7/
		Its: Wesident
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CHI MANAGEMENT GROUP, LP
	• • •	By its General Partner CHI Management Group, LLC
		By: John Thomas
Till de la company de la compa		Its: president
Dated:	January 11, 2012	FISH & RICHARDSON, L.P.
Address of the second s		( Made and How
10 marks -		Stephen E. Fox
SAN A SERTE	, 787787:	Attorneys for Defendants
PLAINT		TSPATCHTZ A BARTERONE THAT T
Dated:	January 11, 2012	ERICKA MITCHELL
		Rv*
		By:
Dated:	January 11, 2012	GAINES & GAINES, APLC
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Ву
No. of the contract of the con		Daniel F. Gaines Attorneys for Plaintiff
		•
		<b>??</b>
	JOINT STIPULATI	- 22 - ON OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

DEFENI	ANTS:	
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CROSSMARK, INC.
		By:
Ta .		
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CROSSMARK HOME IMPROVEMENT SERVICES, LLC.
	w The state of the	By:
Dated:	January 11, 2012	CHI MANAGEMENT GROUP, LP
		By:
Dated:	January 11, 2012	FISH & RICHARDSON, L.P.
		Rv
		ByStephen E. Fox Attorneys for Defendants
PLAIN	1 H 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T	•
ll i	January 11, 2012	ERICKA MITCHELL
	,,,,	
		By:
Dated:	January 11, 2012	GAINES & GAINES, APLC
***************************************		
		Daniel H. Gaines
		Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 26 of 33 Page ID #:1277

EXHIBIT "1"

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 27 of 33 Page ID #:1278

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ERICKA MITCHELL, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,

Case No. CV 11-2818-JAK (FMOx)

Assigned to the Hon. John A. Kronstadt

Plaintiff,

٧.

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL HEARING

CROSSMARK, INC., et. al.,

Defendants.

## YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE MONEY FROM A SETTLEMENT

A California court authorized this notice. This is not a solicitation from a lawyer.

- The settlement resolves a lawsuit over whether CROSSMARK: 1) failed to pay its employees all wages due (includind wages earned driving between work locations), 2) failed to provide its employees with accurately itemized wage statements, 3) failed to timely pay its employees' wages, and 4) failed to fully reimburse its employees for all business expenses incurred. It avoids risks to you from continuing the lawsuit; pays money to employees; and releases CROSSMARK from liability.
- Court-appointed lawyers for the employees will ask the Court to award them up to \$315,000 as fees and expenses for investigating the facts, litigating the case, and negotiating the settlement. This will be paid from the maximum settlement amount.
- CROSSMARK deny these claims in their entirety. Indeed, CROSSMARK does not believe that any liability to Plaintiff or any prospective class members exists, or that Plaintiff or the prospective class members are entitled to any recovery. In addition, CROSSMARK contends that Plaintiff's claims are not suitable for class or representative treatment. Moreover, the two sides disagree on how much money could have been won if employees won at trial.
- Your legal rights are affected whether you act or don't act. Read this notice carefully.

YOUR LEG/ Do Nothing	Automatically receive a payment. You need not take any further action to be part of this case and be paid your share of the settlement.
Exclude Yourself	Get no payment. This is the only option that allows you to ever be part of any other lawsuit against Crossmark about the legal claims in this case.
Object	Write to the Court about why you don't like the settlement.
Go to a Hearing	Ask to speak in Court about the fairness of the settlement.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 28 of 33 Page ID #:1279

#### WHY DID YOU RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?

This notice explains a proposed settlement of a lawsuit, and informs you of your legal rights under that proposed settlement. You are receiving this notice because you may be a member of a class on whose behalf this class action lawsuit has been brought.

#### WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

On October 8, 2010, plaintiff Bricka Mitchell filed this lawsuit against CROSSMARK in Ventura County Superior Court. It was subsequently removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. The lawsuit alleges violations of the California Labor Code, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders, and the California Business and Professions Code. The Lawsuit seeks to certify a class of all hourly, nonexempt employees who were employed by CROSSMARK from October 8, 2006 through \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 (the "Settlement Class"). The lawsuit alleges that members of the Settlement Class were not timely paid all wages owed, were required to incur business expenses for which they were not fully reimbursed, and seeks recovery of alleged unpaid wages, expense reimbursement, statutory and civil penalties, interest, attorneys' fees and costs. CROSSMARK denies all of the material allegations in the Lawsuit.

#### SUMMARY OF THE SETTLEMENT

#### A. Why is there a Settlement?

The Court did not decide in favor of Plaintiff or CROSSMARK. Plaintiff thinks she would have prevailed on her claims at a trial. CROSSMARK does not think that Plaintiff would have won anything from a trial. But there was no trial. Instead, both parties agreed to a settlement. That way, they avoid the costs, risks, and uncertainty of a trial, and the people affected will get compensation. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's attorneys think the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interests of all Class members.

#### B. Who is in the Settlement Class?

The class includes all current and former California employees of CROSSMARK who were employed as hourly, non-exempt employees at any time from October 8, 2006 through \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 and who do not opt out of the settlement.

#### C. What does the Settlement provide?

#### Maximum Settlement Amount.

CROSSMARK will pay \$999,999,99 (the "Maximum Settlement Amount") to settle the lawsuit. The following sums will be paid from the Maximum Settlement Amount: (1) \$25,000 to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency; (2) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$300,000 and Class Counsel's documented litigation costs in an amount set by the Court not to exceed \$15,000; (3) a service payment to the Class Representative, for her services in the Action and for the release of her individual claims against CROSSMARK, in an amount set by the Court and not to exceed \$10,000; and (4) a reasonable amount set by the Court to the settlement administrator for administering the settlement, not to exceed \$45,000. The amount of the Maximum Settlement Amount remaining after these payments is the "Net Settlement Amount."

#### 2. Individual Payment Amount.

Your share of the Net Settlement Amount will be determined by a formula which factors the number of weeks you worked for CROSSMARK as a non-exempt, hourly employee in California between October 8, 2006 and \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 29 of 33 Page ID #:1280

According to the records of CROSSMARK, you were employed as a non-exempt, hourly employee in California for a total of [\_\_\_\_] work weeks between October 8, 2006 and \_\_\_\_\_, 2012. Based on these total workweeks, your estimated share of the settlement proceeds is \$\_\_\_\_\_, although this is subject to change based on the terms of the Court's final approval order.

NOTE: CROSSMARK's records will control unless you are able to provide documentation which establishes that its records are in error. If there is a dispute about whether CROSSMARK's information or yours is accurate, the court may be asked to resolve the dispute, and the court's decision will be final. For instructions on how to dispute your work weeks, see Option 1 below.

#### D. What are you giving up to get a payment or stay in the Class?

Upon the final approval of the settlement by the Court, by signing below, on behalf of myself and my heirs and administrators, I fully release and discharge CROSSMARK of any and all claims that were or could have been asserted in this Action based upon the facts alleged therein, and any and all claims, whether brought directly, representatively, or in any capacity, under any theory whatsoever, whether in tort, contract, statute, rule, ordinance, order, regulation, or otherwise, including state, federal, and local laws, whether for economic damages, non-economic damages, restitution, penalties, punitive damages, wages, premium payments, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, or any other type of recovery thereon, arising out of any act, omission, transaction, or event that occurred or is alleged to have occurred up to the date of final approval of the settlement by the Court, to the extent that such claims arise out of the alleged facts, circumstances, and occurrences underlying the allegations as set forth in the Plaintiff's Complaint or in any Amended Complaint filed in this Action. Claims specifically included in this release, without limitation, include any and all claims arising under the IWC Wage Orders; California Labor Code, including, without limitation, §§ 510, 1194, 226, 201-203, 204, and 2802; California Business & Professions Code §§17200 et seq.; and any and all claims under the Private Attorney General Act (California Labor Code Sections 2698 et seq.) relating to the claims being released herein.

The Class Members' Released Claims provided by the Stipulation of Settlement include claims in any of the categories enumerated above which I do not know or suspect to exist in my favor against the Released Parties. I waive all rights and benefits afforded by section 1542 of the California Civil Code as to unknown claims in any of the categories enumerated above, and do so understanding the significance of that waiver. Section 1542 provides:

"A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor."

If you do not exclude yourself from the Class and the Settlement receives final approval from the Court, you will be bound by this release.

#### THE SETTLEMENT HEARING

The Court will conduct a final fairness hearing regarding the proposed settlement (the "Final Settlement Hearing") on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012, at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, in Courtroom 750 of the United States District Court, Central District of California, located at \_\_\_\_\_\_, Los Angeles, California 90012. The Court will determine: (i) whether the lawsuit should be finally certified as a class action for settlement purposes; (ii) whether the settlement should be given the Court's final approval as fair, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members; (iii) whether the Settlement Class Members should be bound by the terms of the settlement; (iv) the amount of the attorneys' fees and expense award to Plaintiff's Attorneys; and (v) the amount that should be awarded to Plaintiff for class representative fees. At the Final Settlement Hearing, the Court will hear all properly filed objections, as well as arguments for and against the proposed settlement. You have a right to attend this hearing, but you are not required to do so. You also have the right to hire an attorney to represent you, or to enter an appearance and represent yourself.

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 30 of 33 Page ID

#### WHAT ARE YOUR OPTIONS?

#### OPTION 1 - DO NOTHING

IF YOU WISH TO REMAIN A SETTLEMENT CLASS MEMBER AND OBTAIN ANY SHARE OF THE SETTLEMENT THAT YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE, THEN YOU DO NOT NEED TO DO ANYTHING AT THIS TIME. YOU ARE NEVER REQUIRED TO GO TO COURT OR PAY ANYTHING TO THE LAWYERS IN THIS CASE. If you dispute the number of work weeks listed in Section C.2 above, or you have moved and want your settlement check mailed to a new address, send a letter and supporting documentation to: CROSSMARK Claims Administrator, (the "Claims Administrator"). Any address changes or challenges to your work weeks must be sent by regular U.S. mail to the Claims Administrator and postmarked no later than \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 [30 days after mailing]. If you move, it is your responsibility to keep a current address on file with the Claims Administrator.

#### OPTION 2 - OBJECT TO THE SETTLEMENT

If you wish to remain a Settlement Class Member, but you object to the proposed settlement (or any of its terms) and wish the Court to consider your objection at the Final Settlement Hearing, you may object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through an attorney at your own expense, provided you notify the Court of your intent to do so. All written objections, supporting papers, and/or notices of intent to appear at the Final Approval Hearing must (a) clearly identify the case name, number, and judge, (b) be submitted to the Court, either by mailing it to Clerk of the Court, United States District Court, \_\_\_\_ \_, Los Angeles, California 90012, by filing it in person at any location of the United States District Court, Central District of California that includes a facility for civil filings, or by filing it electronically through the government's online filing "PACER" system, and (c) be mailed to the law firms identified below, and (d) be mailed to the Claims Administrator. To be valid, objections must be filed and served on or before \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2012 [30 days after mailing].

Crossmark's Attorneys:

Stephen A. Fox, Esq.

Plaintiff's Attorneys Daniel F. Gaines, Esq. Kenneth S. Gaines, Esq. Alex P. Katofsky, Esq. Gaines & Gaines, APLC 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

John Michael Gaddis, Esq. Fish & Richardson P.C. 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, TX 75201

Scott A. Miller, Esq. Law Offices of Scott A. Miller, APC 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 645 Encino, CA 91436

Steven L. Miller, Esq. Steven L. Miller, APLC 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 645 Encino, CA 91436

#### OPTION 3 - EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT

You have a right to exclude yourself ("opt out") from the Settlement Class, but if you choose to do so, you will not receive any benefits from the proposed settlement. You will not be bound by a judgment in this case and you will have the right to file your own lawsuit against CROSSMARK and pursue your own claims in a separate suit. You can opt out of the Class by completely filling out and mailing the enclosed Exclusion Form to the

#### Case 2:13-cv-08624-R-VBK Document 1 Filed 11/21/13 Page 89 of 101 Page ID #:93

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 31 of 33 Page ID #:1282

#### ARE THERE MORE DETAILS ABOUT THE SETTLEMENT?

The above is a summary of the basic terms of the settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the settlement, you should review the detailed "Joint Stipulation of Settlement and Release" which is on file with the Clerk of the Court. The pleadings and other records in the Lawsuit may be examined at any time during regular business hours at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court, Central District of California, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, Los Angeles, California 90012.

ALL INQUIRIES REGARDING THIS LITIGATION SHOULD BE MADE TO PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEYS: Kenneth S. Gaines, Daniel F. Gaines, and Alex P. Katofsky, GAINES & GAINES, APLC, 21550 Oxnard Street, Suite 980, Woodland Hills, California 91367, Phone: (818) 703-8985.

BY ORDER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 32 of 33 Page ID #:1283

EXHIBIT "2"

Case 2:11-cv-02818-JAK -FMO Document 69-2 Filed 01/16/12 Page 33 of 33 Page ID #:1284

[PRINTED ON GREEN PAPER]

#### REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION

ONLY COMPLETE THIS REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION FORM IF YOU WANT TO OPT OUT OF (NOT PARTICIPATE IN) THE SETTLEMENT OF THE ACTION KNOWN AS ERICKA MITCHELL VS. CROSSMARK, INC, et al., UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NO. CV 11-2818-JAK (FMOx). IF YOU OPT OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT, YOU WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY PORTION OF THE SETTLEMENT AMOUNT.

IN ORDER TO BE VALID, THIS REQUEST FOR EXCLUSION FORM MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED, MAILED BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, AND POSTMARKED ON OR BEFORE [30 days after mailing of Notice]. Send this signed request for exclusion form to the Claims Administrator:

CROS:	SMARI	C Settle	ment A	dminis	rator
c/o					
		_			

# EXHIBIT D

	·	
4		
2	Rafael G. Nendel-Flores, Bar No. 223358	
3	rafael.nendelflores@ogletreedeakins.com Allison C. Eckstrom, Bar No. 217255	
4	Allison.eckstrom@ogletreedeakins.com Lara C. de Leon, Bar No. 270252 lara.deleon@ogletreedeakins.com	
5	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & ST Park Tower, Suite 1500	EWART, P.C.
6	695 Town Center Drive Costa Mesa, CA 92626	
7	Telephone: 714.800.7900 Facsimile: 714.754.1298	
8	Attorneys for Defendant CROSSMARK, INC.	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNTY	OF LOS ANGELES
11		
12	GAYLE SMITH, an individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly	Case No. BC523981
13	situated,	[Assigned for all purposes to Honorable William F. Highberger, Dept. 307]
14	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S
15	vs.	NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AND STATE COURT OF REMOVAL TO
16	CROSSMARK, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	FEDERAL COURT
17	Defendant.	Action Filed: October 9, 2013
18		Trial Date: None Set
19		
20	TO THE CLRK OF THE SUPERIOR COUR	F OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR
21	THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND TO	PLAINTIFF GAYLE SMITH AND HER
22	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Nove	ember 21, 2013, defendant CROSSMARK, INC.
24	filed in the United States District Court for the C	Central District of California a Notice of Removal
25	of this action. A true and correct copy of said No	tice of Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
26	///	
27	///	•
28	///	
	NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AND STATE	COURT OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT

;		
1	Defendant respectfully requests that th	is Court proceed no further in this action unless and until
2	such time as the action may be remar	nded by order of the United States District Court for the
3	Central District of California	
4		
5	DATED: November 21, 2013	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
6		STEWART, P.C.
7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8		By:
9		Rafael G. Nendel-Flores Allison C. Eckstrom
10		Lara C. de Leon
11	-	Attorneys for Defendant CROSSMARK, INC.
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		·
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
	NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AN	D STATE COURT OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT

#### 1 PROOF OF SERVICE Gayle Smith v. CROSSMARK, Inc., et al. 2 Case No. BC523981 3 I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action in which this service is made. At all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Orange in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. My business address is Park Tower, Suite 1500, 695 Town Center Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. 6 On November , 2013, I served the following document(s): 7 DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC,'S NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AND STATE COURT OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT 8 by placing [ ] (the original) [ (a true copy thereof) in a sealed envelope addressed as follows: 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Shawn C. Westrick 10 **GAYLE SMITH** Timothy P. Hennessy KAWAHITO SHRAGA & WESTRICK LLP 11 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 280 Email: swestrick@kswlawyers.com Los Angeles, CA 90025 thennessy@kswlawyers.com 12 Telephone: (310) 746-5300 13 Facsimile: (310) 593-2520 14 BY MAIL: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary X business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, 15 Smoak & Stewart, P.C.'s practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in 16 the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope 17 with postage fully prepaid. BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY: I placed the sealed envelope(s) or package(s) designated 18 by the express service carrier for collection and overnight delivery by following the 19 ordinary business practices of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart P.C., San Francisco, California. I am readily familiar with Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & 20 Stewart P.C.'s practice for collecting and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery, said practice being that, in the ordinary course of business, correspondence for 21 overnight delivery is deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for at the carrier's express service offices for next-day delivery. 22 (STATE): I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that X 23 the above is true and correct. 24 Executed on November \_\_\_\_\_, 2013, at Costa Mesa, CA. 25 26 Diane Vo Signature 27 Type or Print Name 28 16503978.1

DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

#### 1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Gayle Smith v. Crossmark, Inc., et al. 2 I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of 18 years and not a 3 party to the action in which this service is made. At all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Orange in the office of a member of the bar of 4 this court at whose direction the service was made. My business address is Park 5 Tower, Suite 1500, 695 Town Center Drive, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. 6 On November 21, 2013, I served the following document(s): 7 DEFENDANT CROSSMARK, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL 8 by placing [ (the original) [ (a true copy thereof) in a sealed envelope addressed 9 as follows: 10 Shawn C. Westrick Attorneys for Plaintiff **GAYLE SMITH** Timothy P. Hennessy 11 KAWAHITO SHRAGA & WESTRICK LLP 12 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite 280 swestrick@kswlawyers.com thennessy@kswlawyers.com Los Angeles, CA 90025 13 Telephone: (310) 746-5300 14 Facsimile: (310) 593-2520 15 BY MAIL: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our X 16 ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.'s practice for collecting and 17 processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence 18 is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with 19 postage fully prepaid. 20 (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the X 21 State Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that 22 the above is true and correct. 23 24 Executed on November 21, 2013, at Costa Mesa, CA. 25 26 Diane Vo Type or Print Name 27

16492112.1

28



#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS ( Che	ck box if you are repre	senting yourself 🔲 )	DEFENDANTS	( Check box if you are rep	oresenting yourself [ ] )			
GAYLE SMITH, an individu public similarly situated,	ally, and on behalf of o	ther members of the ge	neral CROSSMARK, INC	CROSSMARK, INC., a Delaware Corporation, and DOES 1-10, inclusive.				
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plain	tiff Los Angeles	County of Reside	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
(EXCEPT IN U.S PLAINTIFF CASE	ES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	ES ONLY)				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, representing yourself, pro Shawn C. Westrick / Timoth KAWAHITO SHRAGA & W 1990 S. Bundy Drive, Suite Los Angeles, CA 90025, Te	vide the same informa ny P. Hennessy ESTRICK LLP 280	itlen,	representing yours Rafael G. Nendel-F OGLETREE, DEAK 695 Town Center D	Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number) If you are representing yourself, provide the same information. Rafael G. Nendel-Flores / Altison C. Eckstrom / Lara C. de Leon OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 695 Town Center Drive, Ste. 1500, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 (Tel) (714) 800-7900 / Fax: (714) 754-1298				
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	TION (Place an X in or	ne box only.)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PR	INCIPAL PARTIES-For DI	versity Cases Only			
1. U.S. Government Plaintiff	3. Federal Qu Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	of Business in this State				
2. U.S. Government Defendant	of Parties in I	···	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X i	in one box only.)				AAIAI			
رس 1. Original الله 2. F		3. Remanded from Appellate Court		ensferred from Another	Multi- District Igation			
V. REQUESTED IN CON	IPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: 🗷 Yes 🗌	No (Check "Yes" o	nly if demanded in comp	plaint.)			
CLASS ACTION under	F.R.Cv.P. 23: 🕱	∕es □No	MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$ Unspecified			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Class Action Fairness Act (	•	e under which you are fill	ng and write a brief statemer	nt of cause. Do not cite jurisdic	tional statutes unless diversity.)			
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (	Place an X in one bo	x only).						
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CONT	2	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS			
375 False Claims Act	110 Insurance	240 Torts to Land	462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus:	820 Copyrights			
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	245 Tort Product Liability	465 Other	463 Allen Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent 840 Trademark			
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act	290 All Other Real Property	Immigration Actions TORTS	Sentence 530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY			
430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAUPROPERTY	535 Death Penalty	☐ B61 HIA (1395ff)			
Rates/Etc.	150 Recovery of Overpayment &	310 Airplane	370 Other Fraud	Other	862 Black Lung (923)			
460 Deportation	Enforcement of Judgment	315 Airplane	371 Truth in Lending		863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g)) B64 SSID Title XVI			
470 Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Org.	☐ 151 Medicare Act	Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	380 Other Personal Property Damage	550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 865 RSI (405 (g))			
480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of	Slander 330 Fed. Employers	385 Property Damage	560 Civil Detainee	FEDERAL TAX SUITS			
490 Cable/Sat TV	Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vet.)	Liability	BANKRUPTCY	Confinement	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or			
B50 Securities/Com- modities/Exchange	153 Recovery of	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	USC 158	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	Defendant)			
890 Other Statutory	Overpayment of Vet, Benefits	Liability	423 Withdrawal 28	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	7609			
Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	USC 157	690 Other				
B93 Environmental	190 Other	Product Liability  360 Other Personal	C 440 Other Civil Blobs					
Matters — 895 Freedom of Info.	Contract	Injury 362 Personal Injury	441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards				
Act	195 Contract Product Liability	Med Malpratice	442 employment	720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations				
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury Product Liability	Accomodations	740 Raliway Labor Act				
899 Admin. Procedures Act/Review of Appeal of Agency Decision	210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Llability	445 American with Disabilities- Employment 446 American with Disabilities-Other	751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation				
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	448 Education	791 Employee Ret. Inc. Security Act				
	l riconnent .		-11862					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	Case Numbe		VII COVER SHEET	-	Page 1 of 3			

CIVIL COVER SHEET

CV-71 (11/13)

#### Case 2:13-cv-08624-R-VBK Document 1 Filed 11/21/13 Page 98 of 101 Page ID #:102

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from	STATE CASE WAS PENDING IN THE COUNTY OF:					INITIAL DIVISION IN CACD IS:			
state court?    X   Yes   No	x Los Angeles						Western		
If "no, " go to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		✓ Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo					Western		
		ange				Southern			
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.		verside or San Bernardino					Eastern		
	☐ NI	Verside of San Bernardino				<u> </u>			
Question B: Is the United States, or one of its agencies or employees, a party to this		If the United States, or on	e of its ag	encles o	r employees, is a party, is it:				
action?	A PLAINTIFF?			A DEFENDANT?			INITIAL DIVISION IN		
Yes 🗷 No		r check the box below for the cou sich the majority of DEFENDANTS			Then check the box below for the county In which the majority of PLAINTIFFS reside.		CACD IS:		
If "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," check the	Lc	s Angeles		Los	Angeles		Western		
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to		ntura, Santa Barbara, or San I Dispo	Luis	11 1	ntura, Santa Barbara, or San Ispo	Luis	Western		
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	O	ange		☐ Ora	ange		South	ern	
	Ri	verside or San Bernardino		Riv	erside or San Bernardino		Eastern		
	□ 01	her		Other			Western		
Question C: Location of plaintiffs, defendants, and claims? (Make only one selection per row)	igeles	B. Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Countles	Crange (	2000	D. Riverside or San Bernardino Counties	- 1 To 1 T	E. de the Central ct of California	F. Other	
Indicate the location in which a majority of plaintiffs reside:									
Indicate the location in which a majority of defendants reside:						×			
Indicate the location in which a majority of claims arose:								x	
							and the state of t		
C.1. Is either of the following true? If so, cl	heck th	e one that applies:	C.2. Is	either o	f the following true? If so	, check the	one that applies:		
2 or more answers in Column C				] 2 or n	nore answers in Column D				
only 1 answer in Column C and no	answers	in Column D	only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C						
Your case will initially be		d to the			Your case will initially		ed to the		
SOUTHERN DIVI Enter "Southern" in response to	SION, o Quest	ion D, below.	EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.						
If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.				If none applies, go to the box below.					
		Your case will ir WEST Enter "Western" In re	TERN DIVI:	SION.					
Question D: Initial Division?					INITIAL DIVIS	SION IN CA	ED .	36733	
Enter the Initial division determined by Quest	ion A, E	, or Cabove:			Westerr	Divisio	1		

CV-71 (11/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

#### 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CAS	SES: Has this act	ion been previously filed <b>in this court</b> and dismissed, remanded or closed?	x NO	YES
If yes, list case numb				
IX(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any case	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	□ NO	× YES
If yes, list case numi	ber(s): Mitchell	v. Crossmark, Inc., Case No. CV11-2818-JAK (FMOx)		
Civil cases are deemed I	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:		
(Check all boxes that app	ily) 🗶 A. Arise i	rom the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		
	🗶 B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or	
	C. For ot	her reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; o	r	
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark or copyright <u>, and</u> one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pre	esent.
X. SIGNATURE OF AT (OR SELF-REPRESENT		: Rafael Nendel-Flores DATE:	November	21, 2013
other naners as required by	law. This form an	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplemen proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required rpose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instru	pursuant to Local	Rule 3-1 is not filed
Key to Statistical codes relat	ting to Social Secu	ity Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as provider (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	I Security Act, as s of services und	amended. Also, er the program.
862	RI	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4. Part B. of the Federal Coal Mine He	alth and Safety A	ct of 1969, (30 U.S.C.

All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program.

All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)

All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Smith v. Crossmark, Inc.

I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of 18 years and not a party to the action in which this service is made. At all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Orange in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. My business address is 695 Town Center Drive, Suite 1500, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.

On November 21, 2013, I served the following document(s), described as:

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

BY MAIL: I placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the practice of Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.'s practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the State Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 21, 2013, at Costa Mesa, California.

Diane Vo

 $\boxtimes$ 

Type or Print Name

Signature

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

16503713\_1.DOC

Case \$\pmu:13-cv-08624-R-VBK Document 1 Filed 11/21/13 Page 101 of 101 Page ID #:105